arXiv:1401.0232v2 [math.DS] 3 Aug 2014

ON THE FINITENESS OF ATTRACTORS FOR ONE-DIMENSIONAL MAPS WITH DISCONTINUITIES

P. BRANDÃO, J. PALIS, AND V. PINHEIRO

Abstract. In the present paper we consider piecewise C^3 maps of the interval with negative Schwarzian derivative and show that they display only a finite number of attractors.

Contents

1. Introduction and statement of results 1
1.1. Statement of the main results 3
1.2. An outline of the paper 4
2. Setting and preliminary facts 5
3. Markov maps and the interval dichotomy lemma 7
4. Unimodal maps 11
5. Proof of the finiteness of the number of attractors 13
6. Contracting Lorenz maps 22
References 31

1. Introduction and statement of results

Attractors play a fundamental role in the study of dynamical systems for understanding the evolution of initial states. Along this line, stressing the importance of attractors, it was conjectured by Palis in 1995, in a conference in honour of Adrien Douady (see [32, 33]) that, in compact smooth manifolds, there is a dense set D of differentiable dynamics such that any element of D has finitely many attractors whose union of basins of attraction has total probability in the ambient manifold. We can then concentrate our attention on the description of the properties of this limited number of attractors.

This kind of scenario appeared earlier in the works of Andronov and Pontrjagin [3] for flows on 2-dimensional discs transversal to the boundary, and Peixoto [36] for smooth flows on compact orientable surfaces, where they have shown that for an open and dense set of flows there are only a finite number of attractors, namely fixed points or periodic orbits. The corresponding question for flows in dimension three or more is wide open, except for C^1 diffeomorphisms on compact boundaryless 3-dimensional manifolds, as announced by Crovisier and Pujals [10]. For diffeomorphisms in two or higher dimensions the question is in general equally wide open. On the way to prove the conjecture above, one has to face the existence of open sets in the space of diffeomorphisms containing residual sets of dynamics displaying infinitely many attractors, as shown by Newhouse [30]. This fact

Date: August 5, 2014.

does not contradict the existence of a dense set of dynamics having only a finite number of attractors, but certainly shows how delicate such a question seems to be, see [17], [31], [34], [35].

On the other hand, for C^r maps of the interval, $r \geq 1$, the above conjecture has been proved by Kozlovski, Shen and van Strien in [19], as they have shown that the hyperbolic maps are dense. The denseness of hyperbolic maps was previously established for real quadratic case by Lyubich in [22] and for the parametrized logistic family $f_t(x) = 4tx(1-x)$ by Graczyk-Swiatek in [13]. We observe that quadratic maps, in particular the logistic one, satisfy the negative Schwarzian derivative condition, as defined in Section 1.1 below and used here throughout.

For one-dimensional dynamics one may ask if the finiteness of the number of attractors, due to topological restrictions, is a condition fulfilled by all maps, instead of only by a dense subset of dynamics as conjectured for higher dimensions. The question easily has a negative answer, since a map that does not have negative Schwarzian derivative may exhibit an infinite number of the so-called inessential attracting periodic orbits. So, a basic problem here is if we always have a finite number of attractors for maps with negative Schwarzian derivative, even if we allow for discontinuities.

Observe that maps of the interval with discontinuities come naturally from differentiable vector fields. Indeed, piecewise C^r maps that are piecewise monotone and non-flat can be obtained as the quotient by stable manifolds of a Poincaré map of some C^r dissipative flow. In Figure 1 we have sketched a flow giving rise to a piecewise C^r one-dimensional map with two discontinuities, see [11, 16, 37].

The finiteness of the number of attractors for C^3 non-flat maps of the interval with negative Schwarzian derivative began to be established by Blokh and Lyubich in [6, 7] and Lyubich [20]. They have shown that if $f : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ is a C^3 non-flat map with a single critical point and negative Schwarzian derivative, then there is an attractor whose basin of attraction contains Lebesgue almost every point of the interval $[0, 1]$. Main contributions were also due to de Melo and van Strien [24], Guckenheimer and Johnson [15], Keller [18], Graczyk, Sands and Swiatek [12] and others.

In [39], van Strien and Vargas proved that every C^3 non-flat map f with a finite number of critical points has a finite number of non-periodic attractors. Thus, if f has also negative Schwarzian derivative, one can conclude the finiteness of attractors for the map (see also [21]).

In contrast with the C^3 case, the problem of the finiteness of the number of attractors remained open until now for maps with discontinuities or another kind of "lack of regularity", the exception being the case of the contracting Lorenz map solved by St. Pierre in [40]. We also show that the union of the basins of such attractors contains almost all points of the interval.

We shall prove it without having to decompose the domain of the map into ergodic components and this is a key fact in our work. Former proofs of the finiteness of the number of attractors usually went through showing the non-existence of wandering intervals and the decomposition of the domain into ergodic components. However, for maps with discontinuities that are at the same time critical points, the existence of wandering intervals is in general an open question. And, although wandering intervals are an obstruction to the



FIGURE 1. An example of a flow displaying two coupled singularities and inducing an one-dimensional map with two discontinuities.

decomposition into ergodic components, the methods presented here allow us to circumvent this difficulty and estimate the number of attractors, proving its finiteness.

1.1. **Statement of the main results.** Following Milnor [28], given a smooth compact manifold M, possibly with boundary, we say that a compact subset $A \subset M$ is a *metrical attractor* for a map $f : M \to M$ if its basin of attraction $\beta_f(A) := \{x\,;\, \omega_f(x) \subset A\}$ has positive Lebesgue measure and there is no strictly smaller closed set $A' \subset A$ so that $\beta_f(A')$ is the same as $\beta_f(A)$ up to a measure zero set. Here, $\omega_f(x)$ is the positive limit set of x, that is, the set of accumulating points of the forward orbit of x.

In this work, we are dealing with piecewise C^3 maps of the interval into itself with negative Schwarzian derivative. More precisely, we consider maps $f : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ that are C^3 local diffeomorphisms with negative Schwarzian derivative, i.e., $Sf(x) = \frac{f'''(x)}{f'(x)} - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{f''(x)}{f'(x)}\right)^2 < 0$, in the whole interval, except for a finite set of points $\mathcal{C}_f \subset (0,1)$. This *exceptional set* contains all the critical points of f, which may or may not be flat, as well as the discontinuities of the map.

Theorem A. *Let $f : [0,1] \to [0,1]$, be a C^3 local diffeomorphism with negative Schwarzian derivative in the whole interval, except for a finite set $\mathcal{C}_f \subset (0,1)$. Then, there is a finite collection of attractors $A_1, \cdots, A_n$, such that*

$$\mathrm{Leb}(\beta_f(A_1) \cup \cdots \cup \beta_f(A_n)) = 1.$$

Furthermore, for almost all points x, we have $\omega_f(x) = A_j$ for some $j = 1, \cdots, n$.

A C^3 map $f : [0,1] \to [0,1]$, with $f(0) = f(1) = 0$, is called a *S-unimodal* map if it has at most two fixed points, a single critical point $c \in (0,1)$ and negative Schwarzian

derivative. Such maps have been playing a relevant role in the development of the theory of dynamics of the interval. Blokh and Lyubich [7] proved that any such map displays a unique metrical attractor as defined above. With techniques developed in this paper, we provide in Theorem B a new proof of this fact in a slightly broader context, encompassing the case of a flat critical point. A similar result for S-unimodal maps was obtained by Keller in [18], but we believe our proof of Theorem B to be considerably simpler.

To state this theorem we introduce the notion of cycle of intervals, namely a transitive finite union of non-trivial closed intervals. It is a common type of attractor for maps of the interval associated to the existence of an absolutely continuous invariant measure.

Theorem B (Uniqueness of attractors for S-unimodal maps)**.** *If $f : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is a S-unimodal map with critical point $c \in (0,1)$, which may be flat, then there is an attractor $A \subset [0,1]$ such that $\omega_f(x) = A$ for almost every $x \in [0,1]$. In particular,* $\mathrm{Leb}(\beta_f(A)) = 1$. *The attractor A is either a periodic orbit or a cycle of intervals or a Cantor set and, in this last case, $A = \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(c)}$.*

A point $p \in [0,1]$ is called *right-periodic* with period n for f if n is the smallest integer $\ell \geq 1$ such that $p = \lim_{0<\varepsilon\to 0} \sup\{f^\ell((p-\varepsilon, p)) \cap [0,p)\}$. Similarly, we define *left-periodic* points and a point p is *periodic-like* if it is left or right-periodic.

We shall deal with two types of *finite minimal attractors*: ordinary *attracting periodic orbits*, and the ones that contain at least one point of the exceptional set $\mathcal{C}_f$: the *attracting periodic-like orbits*.

A *contracting Lorenz map* is an orientation preserving C^2 local diffeomorphism $f : [0,1] \setminus \{c\} \to [0,1]$, $0 < c < 1$, such that 0 and 1 are fixed points and f has no repelling fixed points in $(0,1)$. If such a map is C^3 with negative Schwarzian derivative and has no periodic attractors, we show that it has only a single attractor whose basin of attraction has full Lebesgue measure.

Notice that our result extends the one in [40], as we do not require the non-flatness condition on the critical point, and such a condition is implicitly assumed in Lemma 3.36 in that work.

Theorem C. *Let f be a C^3 contracting Lorenz map $f : [0,1] \setminus \{c\} \to [0,1]$, $c \in (0,1)$, with negative Schwarzian derivative. If f does not have periodic-like attractors, then f has an attractor A such that $\omega_f(x) = A$ for almost every $x \in [0,1]$. In particular,* $\mathrm{Leb}(\beta_f(A)) = 1$.

Furthermore, f can have at most two periodic-like attractors. If f has a single periodic attractor, its basin of attraction has full Lebesgue measure. In the case of f having two periodic-like attractors, the union of their basins of attraction has full Lebesgue measure. If f does not have periodic-like attractors then the attractor A is either a cycle of intervals or a Cantor set, and in this last case, $A = \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(c_-) \cup \mathcal{O}_f^+(c_+)}$, where $\mathcal{O}_f^+(c_\pm) = \{\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} f^n(c \pm \varepsilon)\,;\, n \geq 0\}$.

1.2. **An outline of the paper.** In Section 2, we state some basic results in one-dimensional dynamics. We also introduce some notation to deal with lateral limits and lateral periodic orbits. In particular, we make use of some dynamical operations involving complex numbers to simplify our computations. Finally, we present some facts on cycles of intervals.

In Section 3, we prove the Interval Dichotomy Lemma (Lemma 11), which is one of the new main ingredients of the paper. Firstly, we make a brief study of the ergodicity

with respect to the Lebesgue measure of complete Markov maps adapted to our context (Lemmas 9 and 10) and use it to prove the Dichotomy Lemma. As a consequence, the ω-limit set of almost every point $x \in [0,1]$ outside the basins of attraction of the periodic-like attracting orbits and the cycles of intervals, is contained in the closure of the union of the future orbits of the exceptional set (Corollary 12). This fact gives a restriction to the locus of the ω-limit of almost every point and this information is crucial in the subsequent sections.

In Section 4, we apply the Interval Dichotomy Lemma to provide a proof of the uniqueness of the attractor of a S-unimodal map (Theorem B), even when this map exhibits a flat critical point.

In Section 5, we prove Theorem A. We make use in most proofs in this section of a sort of "parallax argument". Parallax is a technique to make precise the position of an object by comparing the projections of this object on the background from two different viewpoints. In our context, the object to be considered is the ω-limit set of typical orbits, indeed some conveniently chosen subset V of it, the background is the interval $[0,1]$ and the projection is given by Corollary 12. The first viewpoint is given by the original map f. To get the second one, we construct a suitable auxiliary map g that has a distinct exceptional set $\mathcal{C}_g \neq \mathcal{C}_f$, but keeps unchanged the ω-limit set of the points in V. Applying Corollary 12 to both f and g, and comparing the ω-limit set with respect to the closure of the exceptional sets of f and g, we are able to make precise the ω-limit set of the points that are not attracted by periodic-like attracting orbits or cycles of interval (Theorem 1). With that, we prove Theorem A.

Section 6 is dedicated to the contracting Lorenz maps and the proof of Theorem C. We have as its main ingredient the induced map provided by Lemma 22.

2. Setting and preliminary facts

Given a set $U \subset [0,1]$, the pre-orbit of U is $\mathcal{O}_f^-(U) := \bigcup_{j\geq 0} f^{-j}(U)$. If $x \notin \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)$, the forward orbit of x is $\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) = \{f^j(x)\,;\, j \geq 0\}$ and the omega limit set of x, denoted by $\omega_f(x)$, is the set of accumulating points of the sequence $\{f^n(x)\}_n$:

$$\omega_f(x) = \{y \in [0,1]\,;\, y = \lim_{j\to\infty} f^{n_j}(x) \text{ for some } n_j \to \infty\}.$$

If $x \in \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)$ then $\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) = \{x, \cdots, f^n(x)\}$ where $n = \min\{j \geq 0\,;\, x \in f^{-j}(\mathcal{C}_f)\}$. A point $p \in [0,1]$ is called *wandering* if there is a neighborhood V of it such that $\mathcal{O}_f^+(V) \cap V = \emptyset$, where $\mathcal{O}_f^+(V) = \bigcup_{x\in V} \mathcal{O}_f^+(x)$. If this is not the case, the point p is called *non-wandering*. The *non-wandering set* of f, $\Omega(f)$, is the set of all non-wandering points $x \in [0,1]$. One can easily prove that $\Omega(f)$ is compact and that $\omega_f(x) \subset \Omega(f)\ \forall\, x$.

We denote the set of periodic points of f by $\mathrm{Per}(f)$, that is,

$$\mathrm{Per}(f) = \{p \in [0,1] \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)\,;\, f^n(p) = p \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}.$$

We introduce some notation concerning lateral limits, lateral periodic orbits and other relevant concepts. For that, we use of some dynamical operations involving complex numbers to simplify our presentation.

In the remaining part of this section let $f : [0,1]\setminus\mathcal{C}_f \to [0,1]$ be a C^1 local diffeomorphism. Let $\mathbb{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ be the imaginary unit ($\mathbb{i}^2 = -1$). Given $p \in [0,1]$ set $f^0(p \pm \mathbb{i}) = p \pm \mathbb{i}$. For $n \geq 1$,

we set

$$f(p-\mathbb{i}) = \lim_{x\uparrow p} f(x) - \mathbb{i} \lim_{x\uparrow p} \frac{f'(x)}{|f'(x)|}$$

and

$$f(p+\mathbb{i}) = \lim_{x\downarrow p} f(x) + \mathbb{i} \lim_{x\downarrow p} \frac{f'(x)}{|f'(x)|}$$

Note that $\lim_{x\uparrow p} f^n(x) = \mathrm{Re}(f^n(p-\mathbb{i}))$ and $\lim_{x\downarrow p} f^n(x) = \mathrm{Re}(f^n(p+\mathbb{i}))$, where $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha)$ is the real part of $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Using the notation above, we can rewrite the definition of periodic-like and fixed-like points given in Section 1.1 as follows. A point $p \in [0,1]$ is called a left-periodic point of period $n \geq 1$ if $f^n(p-\mathbb{i}) = p-\mathbb{i}$. Similarly, $p \in [0,1]$ is a right-periodic point of period $n \geq 1$ if $f^n(p+\mathbb{i}) = p+\mathbb{i}$. If the period is one, p is called a left or right-fixed point.

We define the *lateral exceptional set* as the complexified exceptional set, that is, $\mathbb{C}_f = \{c-\mathbb{i}\,;\, c \in \mathcal{C}_f\} \cup \{c+\mathbb{i}\,;\, c \in \mathcal{C}_f\}$. As usual $\mathcal{O}_f^+(p\pm\mathbb{i}) = \{f^n(p\pm\mathbb{i})\,;\, n \geq 0\}$ and $\omega_f(p\pm\mathbb{i}) \supset [0,1]\times\{-\mathbb{i},+\mathbb{i}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the set of accumulating points of the sequence $\{f^n(p\pm\mathbb{i})\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. If $p \in \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)$, then $\mathcal{O}_f^+(p)$ is always finite and so, $\omega_f(p) = \emptyset$. Nevertheless, $f^n(p\pm\mathbb{i})$ is well defined for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $p \in [0,1]$. If $p \notin \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)$ then $\omega_f(p) = \mathrm{Re}(\omega_f(p\pm\mathbb{i}))$.

Definition 1. *Given $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)$ and $p \in [0,1]$, we say that $p-\mathbb{i} \in \omega_f(x)$ if $p \in \overline{\omega_f(x) \cap (0,p)}$. Similarly, $p+\mathbb{i} \in \omega_f(x)$ if $p \in \overline{\omega_f(x) \cap (p,1)}$.*

We now briefly quote some basic results that we shall use in the sequel. The first one is a simple corollary of Mañe's theorem [26].

Lemma 2. *Let $f : [0,1] \setminus \mathcal{C}_f \to [0,1]$ be a C^3 local diffeomorphism with $\mathcal{C}_f \subset (0,1)$ being a finite set. If f has negative Schwarzian derivative and there are no saddle-nodes then $\omega_f(x) \cap \mathcal{C}_f \neq \emptyset$ for Lebesgue almost every $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}_0(f)$, where $\mathbb{B}_0(f)$ is the basin of attraction of all attracting periodic orbits.*

A *homterval* is an open interval $I = (a,b)$ such that $f^n|_I$ is a homeomorphism for $n \geq 1$. This is equivalent to assume that $I \cap \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f) = \emptyset$. A homterval I is called a *wandering interval* if $f^j(I) \cap f^k(I) = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq j < k$.

Lemma 3 (Homterval Lemma, see [27])**.** *Let $I = (a,b)$ be a homterval of f. If I is not a wandering interval then $I \subset \mathbb{B}_0(f) \cup \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathrm{Per}(f))$, where $\mathbb{B}_0(f)$ is the union of the basins of attraction of all attracting periodic-like orbits of f. Furthermore, if f is C^3 with $Sf < 0$, and I is not a wandering interval, then the set $I \setminus \mathbb{B}_0$ has at most one point.*

As we are dealing with subsets of the interval, if the ω-limit of a point is not totally disconnected then its interior is not empty. This implies, by Lemma 4 below, that either $\omega_f(x)$ is a totally disconnected set or a *cycle of intervals*.

The proofs of the following lemmas are standard.

Lemma 4. *If $p \in [0,1] \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)$ then $\mathrm{Interior}(\omega_f(p)) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\omega_f(p)$ is a cycle of intervals. Furthermore, each cycle of intervals contains at least one point of $\mathcal{C}_f$ in its interior.*

Lemma 5. *Let $p, q \in [0,1] \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)$. If $\mathrm{Interior}(\omega_f(p)) \cap \mathrm{Interior}(\omega_f(q)) \neq \emptyset$ then $\omega_f(p) = \omega_f(q)$.*

A cycle of intervals may not be a minimal attractor (or even an attractor in Milnor's sense). Indeed, this is the case of the so called wild attractors [8]. Nevertheless, as every cycle of intervals contains a critical point in its interior, it follows from Lemma 5 above that the number of cycles of intervals for f is always finite.

Corollary 6. *f has at most $\#\mathcal{C}_f$ distinct cycles of intervals.*

If A is an attracting periodic-like orbit, then Singer's Theorem [38] (see also [27]) assures that $A = \mathrm{Re}(\omega_f(c - \mathbb{i}))$ or $\mathrm{Re}(\omega_f(c + \mathbb{i}))$ for some $c \in \mathcal{C}_f$. On the other hand, if A is not a periodic-like attractor neither a cycle of intervals, then we can use Theorem 1 to write A in terms of a subset of $\mathbb{C}_f$. A cycle of intervals is the unique attractor that may not be traced by the critical orbits. For instance, if $f : [0,1] \setminus \{1/2\} \to [0,1]$ is the complete logistic map, $f(x) = 4x(1-x)$, then the whole interval $[0,1]$ is transitive and $\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+((1/2) \pm \mathbb{i}))} = \{1/2, 1, 0\}$.

Lemma 7. *Let $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)$ be a point not contained in the basin of attraction of any attracting periodic-like orbit and let p be a periodic-like point.*

1. *If p is a left side periodic-like point then $p \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap [0,p)} \iff p \in \overline{\omega_f(x) \cap [0,p)}$.*
2. *If p is a right side periodic-like point then $p \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap (p,1]} \iff p \in \overline{\omega_f(x) \cap (p,1]}$.*

3. Markov maps and the interval dichotomy lemma

Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a compact metric space and μ be a finite measure defined on the Borel sets of $\mathbb{X}$. Let $F : V \to \mathbb{X}$ be a measurable map defined on a Borel set $V \subset \mathbb{X}$ with full measure (i.e., $\mu(V) = \mu(\mathbb{X})$), to which we shall refer. Note that we are not requiring μ to be F-invariant. The map F is called *ergodic* with respect to μ (or μ is called ergodic with respect to F) if $\frac{\mu(U)}{\mu(\mathbb{X})} = 0$ or 1 for every F-invariant Borel set U, noting that here F-invariant means $U = F^{-1}(U)$.

Proposition 8. *If a measure μ is ergodic with respect to F (not necessarily invariant) then there is a compact set $A \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that $\omega_F(x) = A$ for μ almost every $x \in \mathbb{X}$.*

Proof. If $U \subset \mathbb{X}$ is an open set, then either $\omega_F(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for μ almost every $x \in \mathbb{X}$ or $\omega_F(x) \cap U = \emptyset$ for μ almost every $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Indeed, taking $\widetilde{U} = \{x\,;\, \omega_F(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ we have that $\widetilde{U}$ is invariant and then, by ergodicity, either $\mu(\widetilde{U}) = 0$ or $\mu(\widetilde{U}) = \mu(\mathbb{X})$.

Note that if $\omega_F(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for every open set U and μ almost every x, then $\omega_F(x) = \mathbb{X}$ almost surely, proving the proposition. Thus, we may suppose the existence of a non-empty open $U \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that $\omega_F(x) \cap U = \emptyset$ for μ almost every x. Let W be the maximal open set such that $\omega_F(x) \cap W = \emptyset$ for μ almost every $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Now, we shall show that $\omega_F(x) = A$ for μ almost every $x \in \mathbb{X}$, where $A = \mathbb{X} \setminus W$. Indeed, given $p \in A$ we have that necessarily $\omega_F(x) \cap B_\varepsilon(p) \neq \emptyset$ for μ almost every $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, for otherwise we would have $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\omega_F(x) \cap B_\varepsilon(p) = \emptyset$ for Lebesgue almost every $x \in \mathbb{X}$, but then $B_\varepsilon(p) \cup W$ would contradict the maximality of W. Let $W_n = \{x; \omega_F(x) \cap B_{\frac{1}{n}}(p) \neq \emptyset\}$. We have that $\mu(W_n) = \mu(\mathbb{X})$, $\forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus, $\mu(\bigcap_n W_n) = \mu(\mathbb{X})$. As every $x \in (\bigcap_n W_n)$, we have that $dist(p, \omega_F(x)) = 0$ for any $x \in \bigcap_n W_n$ and then $p \in \omega_F(x) = \overline{\omega_F(x)}$ proving Proposition 8.

□

Lemma 9. *Let $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V \subset (a,b)$ be an open set. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of connected components of a Borel set V. Let $G : V \to (a,b)$ be a map satisfying:*

(1) *$G(P) = (a,b)$ diffeomorphically, for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$;*
(2) *$\exists V' \subset \bigcap_{j\geq 0} G^{-j}(V)$, with $\mathrm{Leb}(V') > 0$, such that*
   (a) *$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\mathcal{P}_n(x)| = 0$, $\forall x \in V'$,*
   where $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ is the connected component of $\bigcap_{j=0}^{n} G^{-j}(V)$ that contains x;
   (b) *$\exists K > 0$ such that $\left|\frac{DG^n(p)}{DG^n(q)}\right| \leq K$, for all n, and $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n(x)$, and $x \in V'$*

Then, $\mathrm{Leb}([a,b] \setminus V) = 0$, $\omega_G(x) = [a,b]$ for Lebesgue almost all $x \in [a,b]$.

Proof. Firstly, we show that

Claim 1. *Every positively invariant set $U \subset V'$ with positive measure has measure $|b-a|$.*

Proof. Suppose that $U \subset V'$ is positively invariant with positive measure. Note that necessarily $U \subset \bigcap_{j\geq 0} G^{-j}(V)$. From Lebesgue Density Theorem, there is $p \in U$ (indeed for Lebesgue almost every $p \in U$) such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{P}_n(p)\setminus U)}{\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{P}_n(p))} = 0$. So, it follows from the bounded distortion hypothesis, item (2b) in Lemma 9 and the forward invariance of U that $\mathrm{Leb}((a,b) \setminus U) = 0$. Indeed,

$$\mathrm{Leb}((a,b) \setminus U) \leq \mathrm{Leb}(G^n(\mathcal{P}_n(p) \setminus U)) \tag{1}$$

$$= \mathrm{Leb}((a,b))\frac{\mathrm{Leb}(G^n(\mathcal{P}_n(p) \setminus U))}{\mathrm{Leb}(G^n(\mathcal{P}_n(p)))} \leq \mathrm{Leb}((a,b))K\frac{\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{P}_n(p) \setminus U)}{\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{P}_n(p))} \to 0$$

Here the inequality (1) follows from the fact that $U \supset G^n(\mathcal{P}_n(p) \cap U)$ and then $(a,b) \setminus U \subset (a,b) \setminus G^n(\mathcal{P}_n(p) \cap U)) = G^n(\mathcal{P}_n(p) \setminus U)$. And the last equality we get by writing $(a,b) = G^n(\mathcal{P}_n(p))$, and as $G^n(\mathcal{P}_n(p))$ is a bijection, (a,b) can be written as a disjoint union of $G^n(\mathcal{P}_n(p) \setminus U)$ and $G^n(\mathcal{P}_n(p) \cap U)$. □

As V' is a positively invariant set, we get $\mathrm{Leb}((a,b)\setminus V') = 0$. Thus, $\mathrm{Leb}(V) = \mathrm{Leb}(V') = \mathrm{Leb}([a,b])$. As an invariant set is also a positively invariant set, it also follows from the claim that G is ergodic with respect to Lebesgue measure (precisely, with respect to $\mathrm{Leb}\,|_{[a,b]}$).

From Proposition 8, there is a compact set $A \subset [a,b]$ such that $\omega_G(x) = A$ for Lebesgue almost every $x \in [a,b]$.

Claim 2. $\mathrm{Leb}(A) > 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathrm{Leb}(A) = 0$. Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open neighborhood M_ε of A such that $\mathrm{Leb}(M_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$. Let $\Omega_\varepsilon(n) = \{x \in (a,b); \mathcal{O}^+_G(G^n(x)) \subset M_\varepsilon\}$. Observe that $\mathrm{Leb}(\bigcup_n \Omega_\varepsilon(n)) = |b-a|$, as $\omega_G(x) = A$ for Lebesgue almost all x. Then, $\exists n_0$ such that $\mathrm{Leb}(\Omega_\varepsilon(n_0)) > 0$. As $\Omega_\varepsilon(n_0)$ is positively invariant, it also follows that $G^{n_0}(\Omega_\varepsilon(n_0))$ is positively invariant. Then, by Claim 1, $\mathrm{Leb}(G^{n_0}(\Omega_\varepsilon(n_0))) = |b-a|$. This is a contradiction since $G^{n_0}(\Omega_\varepsilon(n_0)) \subset M_\varepsilon$ and $\mathrm{Leb}(M_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$. □

As A is positively invariant and $\mathrm{Leb}(A) > 0$, by Claim 2 it follows from Claim 1 that $\mathrm{Leb}(A) = |b-a|$. As A is a compact set, it follows that $A = [a,b]$. Thus $\omega_G(x) = A = [a,b]$ for Lebesgue almost every x, proving the Lemma. □

Lemma 10. *Let $U \subset I = (a,b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open set and $F : U \to (a,b)$ be a C^3 local diffeomorphism with $SF < 0$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the collection of connected components of U. If there is a positively invariant set $V \subset U$ with positive measure such that*

(1) *$F(\mathcal{P}(x)) = I \ \forall\, x \in V$ and*
(2) *V does not intersect the basin of attraction of any periodic-like attractor of F,*

then either $\omega_F(x) \subset \partial I$ for almost every $x \in V$ or $\mathrm{Leb}(I \setminus V) = 0$ and $\omega_F(x) = [a,b]$ for Lebesgue almost every $x \in [a,b]$.

Proof. We may suppose that $\mathrm{Leb}(\{x \in V\,;\, \omega_f(x) \cap I \neq \emptyset\}) > 0$ and choose $a < a' < b' < b$ such that $\mathrm{Leb}(V') > 0$, where $V' = \{x \in V\,;\, \omega_F(x) \cap (a',b') \neq \emptyset\}$. Write $J = (a',b')$ (we will consider $J \subset I$ instead of I, so that we can apply Koebe's Lemma).

It follows from Koebe's Lemma that there is K, depending only on $\frac{a'-a}{b-a}$ and $\frac{b-b'}{b-a}$, such that

$$\left|\frac{(F^n)'(p)}{(F^n)'(q)}\right| \leq K, \forall p,q \in J_n(x), \forall n, \forall x \in F^{-n}(J), \tag{2}$$

where $J_n(x)$ is the connected component of $F^{-n}(J)$ that contains x.

Given $x \in I$ let

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N}\,;\, x \in F^{-n}(J)\}.$$

Claim. *We have $\lim_{\mathcal{U}(x) \ni n \to \infty} |J_n(x)| = 0$, for Lebesgue almost every $x \in V'$.*

Proof of the claim. Suppose that there is a Lebesgue density point x of V' such that

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}(x) \ni n \to \infty} |J_n(x)| > 0.$$

Then, $M := \mathrm{Interior}(\bigcap_{n \in \mathcal{U}(x)} J_n(x))$ is an open interval with $J_1(x) \supset \cdots \supset J_n(x) \searrow M$. As $F^n(J_n(x)) = J\ \forall n$, it follows from the bounded distortion (2) above that $F^n(M) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} J$. Thus, there exists ℓ big enough so that $F^\ell(M) \cap M \neq \emptyset$. As $F^{n\ell}|_M$ is diffeomorphism $\forall n$, defining $\widetilde{M} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} F^{n\ell}(M)$ we have that $F^\ell|_{\widetilde{M}}$ is a diffeomorphism and $F^\ell(\widetilde{M}) = \widetilde{M}$. This implies, since $SF < 0$, that $F^\ell|_{\widetilde{M}}$ has one, p_1, or at most two attracting fixed-like points p_1, p_2 such that $\mathrm{Leb}(\widetilde{M} \setminus \beta(A)) = 0$, where $A = \{p_1\}$ or $\{p_1,p_2\}$. Therefore, Lebesgue almost every point of the neighborhood of x is contained in the basin of attraction of some attracting periodic-like orbit, contradicting the fact that V' does not intersect the basin of any periodic-like attractor (recall that x is a density point of V'). □

It follows from the claim above that $\overline{\mathrm{Per}(F)} \supset V' \cap (a',b')$. Furthermore, using the claim, the invariance of V' and the bounded distortion (2), we also get that $\mathrm{Leb}((a',b') \setminus V') = 0$. As a consequence, $\overline{\mathrm{Per}(F)} \supset (a',b')$.

Let $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in (a',b') \cap \mathrm{Per}(f)$ be such that $\alpha_0 < \beta_0$, $\mathrm{Leb}(\{x \in V'\,;\, \omega_F(x) \cap \left(\mathcal{O}^+_f(\alpha_0) \cup \mathcal{O}^+_f(\beta_0)\right) \neq \emptyset\}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Leb}(\{x \in V'\,,\, \omega_F(x) \cap (\alpha_0,\beta_0) \neq \emptyset\}) > 0$.

Let $T = (\alpha,\beta)$ be a connected component of $(\alpha_0,\beta_0) \setminus \left(\mathcal{O}^+_F(\alpha_0) \cup \mathcal{O}^+_F(\beta_0)\right)$ such that $\mathrm{Leb}(\{x \in V'\,;\, \omega_F(x) \cap T \neq \emptyset\}) > 0$. Let $T^* = \{x \in T\,;\, \mathcal{O}^+_F(F(x)) \cap T \neq \emptyset\}$, $\mathcal{T}$ be the set of all connected components of T^* and $F_T : T^* \to T$ be the first return map to T. As $\mathcal{O}^+_F(\partial T) \cap T = \emptyset$, we have that $F_T(W) = T$ for all $W \in \mathcal{T}$. It follows again from (2) that

$$\left|\frac{(F_T^n)'(p)}{(F_T^n)'(q)}\right| \leq K, \forall p,q \in \mathcal{T}_n(x), \forall n, \forall x \in V'',$$

where $V'' = \{x \in T \cap V' ; \omega_F(x) \cap T \neq \emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{T}_n(x)$ is the connected component of $F_T^{-n}(T)$ containing x. That is, F_T satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 9. Thus, $\mathrm{Leb}(T \setminus V') = \mathrm{Leb}(T \setminus V'') = 0$ and $\omega_{F_T}(x) \supset [\alpha, \beta]$ for Lebesgue almost every $x \in T$. Chose any $p \in V' \cap T$ and $n \geq 1$ big enough so that $J_n(p) \subset T$. As $F^n(J_n(p)) = (a', b')$ and $\mathrm{Leb} \circ F^{-1} \ll \mathrm{Leb}$, we have that $\mathrm{Leb}((a', b') \setminus V) = \mathrm{Leb}((a', b') \setminus V') = 0$ and $\omega_F(x) \supset [a', b']$ for almost all $x \in (a', b')$. Finally, as we can take a' as close to a and b' as close to b as wished, we conclude that $\mathrm{Leb}(I \setminus V) = 0$ and $\omega_F(x) = [a, b]$ for Lebesgue almost every $x \in [a, b]$.

□

Let $\mathbb{B}_0(f)$ be the union of the basins of the periodic-like attractors for f. Denote by $\mathcal{V}_f$ the set of "lateral exceptional values" of f, i.e., $\mathcal{V}_f = \{f(\alpha) ; \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f\}$, and let $\mathcal{O}_f^+(\mathcal{V}_f) = \{f^n(\alpha) ; \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f \text{ and } n \geq 1\}$.

Lemma 11 (Interval Dichotomy)**.** *Let $I = (a, b) \subset [0, 1]$ be an interval such that $I \cap \mathrm{Re}\big(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\mathcal{V}_f)\big) = \emptyset$. If $\mathrm{Leb}(I \setminus \mathbb{B}_0(f)) > 0$ then either $\omega_f(x) \cap I = \emptyset$ for almost every $x \in I \setminus \mathbb{B}_0(f)$ or $\omega_f(x) \supset I$ for almost every $x \in I$.*

Proof. Let $F : I^* \to I$ be the first return map to I, with $I^* = \{x \in I ; \mathcal{O}_f^+(f(x)) \cap I \neq \emptyset\}$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of connect components of I^*.

Claim. *F is a local diffeomorphism having negative Schwarzian derivative and $F(P) = I$ for $\forall P \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof of the claim. As f is a local diffeomorphism with $Sf < 0$, it follows that F is also a local diffeomorphism with $SF < 0$. Given $P \in \mathcal{P}$, there is some $m > 0$ such that $F|_P = f^m|_P$. Write $P = (p_0, p_1)$. If $F(P) \neq I$ then $\exists i = 0$ or 1 and $0 \leq n < m$ such that $f^n(p_i) \in \mathcal{C}_f$. That is, $\alpha := f^n(p_i + (-1)^i \mathbb{i}) \in \mathbb{C}_f$ and $\mathrm{Re}(f^m(p_i + (-1)^i \mathbb{i})) = \mathrm{Re}(f^{m-(n+1)}(\alpha)) \in \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\mathcal{V}_f)) \cap I$ contradicting our hypothesis. □

Now let $V = \{x \in I \setminus \mathbb{B}_0; \#(\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap I) = \infty\}$, i.e., $V = \big(\bigcap_{n \geq 0} F^{-n}(I)\big) \setminus \mathbb{B}_0$, and assume that $\mathrm{Leb}(V) > 0$. Note that V is an F-positively invariant set with positive measure and it does not intersect the basin of attraction of any periodic-like attractor of F. Thus, the first return map F satisfy all the hypotheses of Lemma 10. As a consequence, $\mathrm{Leb}(I \setminus V) = 0$ and $\omega_f(x) \supset \omega_F(x) = \overline{I} \supset I$ for almost every $x \in I$.

□

As before, let $\mathbb{B}_0(f)$ be the union of the basins of attraction of all periodic-like attractors. Let $\mathbb{B}_1(f) = \{x \in [0, 1] ; \mathrm{Interior}(\omega_f(x)) \neq \emptyset\}$. By Lemma 4, $\mathbb{B}_1(f)$ is the set of points $x \in [0, 1]$ such that $\omega_f(x)$ is a cycle of intervals. In particular, $\mathbb{B}_1(f)$ is contained in the union of the basins of attraction of all cycles of intervals.

Corollary 12. *For almost all $x \in [0, 1] \setminus (\mathbb{B}_0(f) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(f))$,*

$$\omega_f(x) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}.$$

Proof. As the collection $\mathcal{P}$ of all connected components of $[0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}$ is a countable set of intervals, it follows from the interval dichotomy lemma that $\mathrm{Leb}(\{x \in$

$I \setminus (\mathbb{B}_0(f) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(f))\,;\, \omega_f(x) \cap I \neq \emptyset\}) = 0\ \forall\, I \in \mathcal{P}$. Thus,

$$0 \leq \mathrm{Leb}\left(\left\{x \in [0,1] \setminus (\mathbb{B}_0(f) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(f))\,;\, \omega_f(x) \not\subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}\right\}\right) \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \mathrm{Leb}\left(\left\{x \in I \setminus (\mathbb{B}_0(f) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(f))\,;\, \omega_f(x) \cap I \neq \emptyset\right\}\right) = 0.$$

□

Corollary 13. *If q is an isolated point of $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}$, then*

$$\mathrm{Leb}\left(\left\{x \in [0,1] \setminus \left(\mathbb{B}_0(f) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(f)\right)\,;\, q \in \omega_f(x)\right\}\right) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be so that $\{q\} = B_\varepsilon(q) \cap \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}$. Suppose that $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) > 0$, where $\mathcal{U} = \{x \in [0,1] \setminus \left(\mathbb{B}_0(f) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(f)\right)\,;\, q \in \omega_f(x)\}$. Let $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{x \in \mathcal{U} \cap (q-\varepsilon, q)\ ;\ q \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap (q-\varepsilon, q)}\}$ and $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{U} \cap (q, q+\varepsilon)\ ;\ q \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap (q, q+\varepsilon)}\}$. As $\mathrm{Leb} \circ f^{-1} \ll \mathrm{Leb}$, we get $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U} \cap B_\varepsilon(q)) > 0$. As $\mathcal{U}_0 \cup \mathcal{U}_1 \supset \left(\mathcal{U} \cap B_\varepsilon(q) \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(q)\right)$, it follows that $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U}_0)$ or $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U}_1) > 0$.

Suppose for instance that $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U}_0) > 0$ (the proof for $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U}_1) > 0$ is similar). Changing ε a little if necessary, we may assume that $\mathrm{Leb}(\{x\,;\, q \pm \varepsilon \in \omega_f(x)\}) = 0$.

Case (1): $q - \mathbb{i}$ is periodic, that is, q is a left side periodic-like point. As $\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathbb{B}_0(f) = \emptyset$, it follows from Lemma 7, Proposition 11 and Lemma 4, that $\omega_f(x)$ is a cycle of interval for almost every $x \in (q-\varepsilon, q)$. As a consequence, $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U}_0 \setminus \mathbb{B}_1(f)) = 0$, contradicting the definition of $\mathcal{U}_0$.

Case (2): $q - \mathbb{i}$ is not periodic and $q + \mathbb{i} \notin \mathcal{O}_f^+(q - \mathbb{i})$. In this case, $(q-\varepsilon, q+\varepsilon) \cap \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\mathcal{V}_f)) = \emptyset$. Thus, from the interval dichotomy lemma, $\omega_f(x)$ is a cycle of interval for almost every $x \in (q-\varphi, q+\varphi)$, contradicting again the definition of $\mathcal{U}_0$.

Case (3): $q - \mathbb{i}$ is not periodic but $\exists\, n \geq 1$ such that $f^n(q - \mathbb{i}) = q + \mathbb{i}$. In this case, $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U}_1) > 0$ (because $f^n((q-\delta)) = (q, f^n(q-\delta))$ for some $\delta > 0$). Furthermore, as $q - \mathbb{i} \notin \mathcal{O}_f^+(q + \mathbb{i})$, because $q - \mathbb{i}$ is not periodic, we can apply either Cases (1) or (2) above to $q + \mathbb{i}$. In each case we will get a contradiction with the definition of $\mathcal{U}_1$.

□

4. Unimodal maps

By Singer's Theorem [38], a S-unimodal map f has at most one attracting periodic orbit. In Lemma 14 below, we shall make use of the interval dichotomy lemma to prove that if f has an attracting periodic orbit, then its basin of attraction has full Lebesgue measure.

Lemma 14. *Let $f : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be a S-unimodal map having an attracting periodic point p. Then $\mathrm{Leb}(\beta_f(\mathcal{O}_f^+(p))) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $0 < c < 1$ be the critical point of f and let $\hat{p} = \max \mathcal{O}_f^+(p)$. As $Sf < 0$ and f has at most two fixed points, if $\hat{p} = 0$, then $\omega_f(x) = 0$ for every $x \in [0,1]$. Thus, we may assume that $\hat{p} \neq 0$. In particular, 0 cannot be an attracting periodic point.

Writing $(a,b) = J := f^{-1}((\hat{p}, 1])$, we have that a or $b \in \mathcal{O}_f^+(p)$. Furthermore, it follows from Singer's Theorem [38] that p is the unique attracting periodic orbit of f and that



FIGURE 2. The map g associated to the unimodal map f in the proof of Lemma 14.

$\omega_f(x) = \mathcal{O}_f^+(p)$, for every $x \in J$ because $[a, c]$ or $[c, b]$ is contained in the local basin of $\mathcal{O}_f^+(p)$.

We claim that $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) = 0$, where $\mathcal{U} := [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}_0(f) = [0,1] \setminus \beta_f(\mathcal{O}_f^+(p))$. To show this, let's consider $g : [0,1] \setminus \mathcal{C}_g \to [0,1]$ defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \notin J \\ \lambda(f(x) - f(p)) + f(p) & \text{if } x \in J \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda = (1 - f(p))/(f(c) - f(p))$ and $\mathcal{C}_g = \{c\} \cup \partial J$, see Figure 2.

Assume, by contradiction, that $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) > 0$. Notice that $\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) = \mathcal{O}_g^+(x)$, $\forall\, x \in \mathcal{U}$. In particular, $\mathbb{B}_0(g) \cap \mathcal{U} = \emptyset$.

Let $I_1, \cdots, I_t$ be the connected components of $([0,1] \setminus J) \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^+(p)$ and observe that $\mathcal{U} \subset I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_t$. In particular, there is t_0 so that $\mathrm{Leb}(\{x \in \mathcal{U}\,;\, \#(\mathcal{O}_g^+(x) \cap I_{t_0}) = \infty\}) > 0$. As $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathbb{B}_0(f) = \emptyset$, it follows from Lemma 7 that

$$\mathrm{Leb}(\{x \in \mathcal{U}\,;\, \omega_g(x) \cap I_{t_0} \neq \emptyset\}) > 0.$$

As $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(g(a \pm \mathbb{i}))) = \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(g(b \pm \mathbb{i}))) = \mathcal{O}_f^+(p)$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(g(c \pm \mathbb{i}))) = \{0, 1\}$, we get that $I_{t_0} \cap \mathrm{Re}\big((\mathcal{O}_g^+(\mathcal{V}_g)\big) = \emptyset$. Thus, by the interval dichotomy lemma, $\omega_g(x) \supset I_{t_0}$ for almost every $x \in I_{t_0}$. This implies that I_{t_0} does not intersect the basin of attraction of a periodic attractor nor it is a wandering interval. Thus, by the homterval lemma, there is some $n \geq 0$ such that $g^n|_{I_{t_0}}$ is a diffeomorphism and $\{a, b, c\} \cap g^n(I_{t_0}) \neq \emptyset$. But, this implies that $g^n(I_{t_0}) \cap J \neq \emptyset$ and as a consequence the orbit (with respect to g and also f) of almost every point of $\mathcal{U} \cap I_{t_0}$ intersects J, contradicting the definition of $\mathcal{U}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{U}$ must be a zero measure set, proving the lemma.

□

Now we are able to prove the uniqueness of an attractor for S-unimodal maps (Theorem B).

Proof of Theorem B. By Lemma 14, we may assume that f does not have a periodic attractor. That is, $\mathbb{B}_0(f) = \emptyset$. As $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c - \mathbb{i})) = \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c + \mathbb{i})) = \mathcal{O}_f^+(c)$, it follows from

Corollary 12 that $\omega_f(x) \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(c)}$ for almost every $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}_1(f)$. As we are assuming that f does not have a periodic attractor, in particular it does not have a saddle-node. So, all periodic orbits are hyperbolic repellers. Applying Mañe's theorem, it follows that $c \in \omega_f(x)$ for almost every $x \in [0,1]$. As a consequence, $\overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(c)} \subset \omega_f(x)$ almost surely. On the other hand, Corollary 12 implies that $\omega_f(x) \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(c)}$ for almost every $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}_1(f)$. Thus,

$$\omega_f(x) = \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(c)} \text{ for almost every } x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}_1(f). \tag{3}$$

If $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathbb{B}_1(f)) = 0$ (in particular, if f does not have a cycle of intervals), then the theorem is proved by taking $A = \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(c)}$. Indeed, because of (3), we need only to verify that $\overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(c)}$ is a perfect set, that is, it is a closed set with no isolated points, and this follows directly from (3) and Corollary 13.

Therefore, we may suppose that f has a cycle of intervals $\mathcal{U} = I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_n$, $I_j = [a_j, b_j]$, and also that $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathbb{B}_1(f)) > 0$. It follows from Corollary 6 that this cycle of intervals is unique. Thus, $\mathbb{B}_1(f) = \{x \in [0,1]\,;\, \omega_f(x) = \mathcal{U}\}$. Furthermore, $c \in (a_k, b_k)$ for some $1 \le k \le n$ (Lemma 4).

Claim. *$\omega_f(x) = \mathcal{U}$ for almost every $x \in [0,1]$.*

Proof of the claim. Suppose by contradiction that $\mathrm{Leb}([0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}_1(f)) > 0$. In this case, we have that $\mathrm{Interior}(\omega_f(c)) = \emptyset$. Otherwise, by the Lemma 4, $\omega_f(c)$ is a cycle of intervals, and so $\omega_f(c) = \mathcal{U}$. As a consequence, $c \in \omega_f(c)$ and then $\overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(c)} = \omega_f(c) = \mathcal{U}$. By (3) and the definition of $\mathbb{B}_1(f)$, we get $\omega_f(x) = \mathcal{U}$ for almost every $x \in [0,1]$, i.e., $\mathrm{Leb}([0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}_1(f)) = 0$, contradicting the assumption.

As $\mathrm{Interior}(\omega_f(c)) = \emptyset$, we can choose an open interval $I = (a,b)$ contained in $\mathcal{U} \setminus \omega_f(c)$. As $\mathcal{O}_f^+(c) \cap I = \emptyset$ and we know that $\mathrm{Leb}(\{x\,;\, \omega_f(x) \supset \mathcal{U} \supset I\}) > 0$, it follows from the interval dichotomy lemma (Proposition 11) that $\omega_f(x) \supset I$ for almost every $x \in I$. By the homterval lemma, either $c \in I$ or there is some $\ell \ge 1$ such that $f^\ell|_I$ is a diffeomorphism and $c \in f^\ell(I)$. Thus, $\omega_f(x) \supset f^\ell(I)$ for almost every $x \in I$ and also for almost every $x \in T := f^\ell(I)$. As a consequence, $\mathrm{Interior}(\omega_f(x)) \cap \mathrm{Interior}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$ for almost every $x \in T$. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 5 that $\omega_f(x) = \mathcal{U}$ for almost every $x \in T$. Let $T' = \{x \in T\,;\, \omega_f(x) = \mathcal{U}\}$. As T is an open interval containing c, it follows from Mañe's theorem that $\mathrm{Leb}(\bigcup_{n\ge 0} f^{-n}(T)) = 1$ and so, $\mathrm{Leb}(\bigcup_{n\ge 0} f^{-n}(T')) = 1$, since $f_*\,\mathrm{Leb}$ is absolutely continuous. That is, $\mathrm{Leb}([0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}_1(f)) = 0$, contradicting our assumption. □

It follows from the claim that $A := \mathcal{U}$ is a minimal attractor and $\beta_f(A)$ contains almost every point of $[0,1]$, concluding the proof of the theorem. □

5. Proof of the finiteness of the number of attractors

Lemma 15. *Let $g : [0,1] \setminus \mathcal{C}_g \to [0,1]$ be a C^3 local diffeomorphism with $Sg < 0$ and $\mathcal{C}_g \subset (0,1)$ being a finite set. If $\exists\, I = (c_0, c_1)$ and $p \in I$ such that $c_0, c_1 \in \mathcal{C}_g$, $I \cap \mathcal{C}_g = \emptyset$, $g|_I$ is a contraction with attracting fixed point p, then for almost all $x \in [0,1] \setminus (\mathbb{B}_0(g) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(g))$ we have*

$$\omega_g(x) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_g \setminus \mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))},$$



FIGURE 3. This is the graphic of a map g as in the hypothesis of Lemma 15.

where $\mathbb{C}_g(I) = \{\eta \in \mathbb{C}_g\,;\, \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\eta)) \cap I \neq \emptyset\} \supset \{c_0 + \mathbb{i}, c_1 - \mathbb{i}\}$ *and* $\mathbb{C}_g = \mathcal{C}_g \pm \mathbb{i}$.

Proof. Note that

$$\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))} = \left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\right) =$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\right)}_{K} \cup \left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))\right) \cup \{p\}$$

Let $n_0 \geq 0$ be such that $\mathrm{Re}(g^n(\eta)) \in I$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and all $\eta \in \mathbb{C}_g(I)$. Let $D = \big\{x \notin \mathbb{B}_0(g) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(g)\,;\, \omega_f(x) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\big\}$. For every $x \in D$ and $q \in \omega_f(x) \setminus K$ we have $q \in \omega_f(x) \cap \left(\{p\} \cup \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))\right)$. As $\omega_g(x) \cap I = \emptyset$, because $x \notin \mathbb{B}_0(g) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(g)$, we get $q \in \omega_f(x) \cap \left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Re}(g^j(\alpha))\right)$. That is,

$$\omega_g(x) \setminus K \subset \underbrace{\left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Re}(g^j(\alpha))\right) \setminus K}_{W}, \tag{4}$$

for all $x \in [0,1] \setminus (\mathbb{B}_0(g) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(g))$.

Thus, we can conclude that

$$\bigcup_{x\in D} \omega_g(x) \subset K \cup W \subset \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}$$

As K is a compact set, W is a finite set and $K \cap W = \emptyset$, it follows that every point of W is an isolated point of $\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}$. Thus, follows from Corollary 13 that

$$\mathrm{Leb}(\{x \in D\,;\, \omega_g(x) \not\subset K\}) = 0.$$

Finally, as $[0,1] \setminus (\mathbb{B}_0(g) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(g)) = D \mod 0$ (Corollary 12), we finish the proof. □

By definition, if J is a wandering interval then $J \cap \mathcal{C}_f = \emptyset$. Nevertheless, the border of J, ∂J, may contain some $c \in \mathcal{C}_f$. In this case, we have either $c - \mathbb{i} \in J$ or $c + \mathbb{i} \in J$. Let $\mathcal{W}_f$ be the set of all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f$ contained in a wandering interval. If $\alpha = c - \mathbb{i} \in \mathcal{W}_f$, define p_γ as the infimum of all $0 < t < c$ such that (t, c) is a wandering interval and define $J_\gamma = (p_\gamma, c)$. Analogously, if $\alpha = c + \mathbb{i} \in \mathcal{W}_f$, define p_γ as the supremum of all $c < t < 1$ such that (c, t) is a wandering interval and define $J_\gamma = (c, p_\gamma)$. The maximal wandering interval J_α is called the *exceptional wandering interval* associated to α. Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f$ define the *shadow of* α as

$$\alpha^\star = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \alpha \notin \mathcal{W}_f \\ +\infty & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathcal{W}_f \text{ and } p_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f) \\ p_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathcal{W}_f \text{ and } p_\alpha \notin \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f) \end{cases}$$

We say that A is an *exceptional wandering interval attractor* (or *Ewi attractor*) if $A = \mathrm{Re}(\omega_f(\alpha))$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{W}_f$. The basin of attraction of A contains the exceptional wandering interval J_α. Indeed, an Ewi attractor is the smallest attractor containing an exceptional wandering interval.

Example 16. *Let $f : [0,1] \setminus \{c\} \to [0,1]$, $0 < c < 1$, be a C^3 contracting Lorenz map with $Sf < 0$ such that $\mathrm{Leb}(f([v_0, v_1] \setminus \{c\})) < |v_0 - v_1|$, where $v_j = \mathrm{Re}(f(c + (-1)^j \mathbb{i}))$. In this case, the map $G := f|_{J \setminus \{c\}}$ is injective but not surjective, where $J = [v_0, v_1]$. Such a map G is called a gap map and it is known that it has a well defined rotation number. If its rotation number is irrational then $I_0 := (G(v_1), G(v_0)) = (f(v_1), f(v_0))$ is a wandering interval. In this case, $I = (a, v_0)$ is a wandering interval for f, where $a < v_0$ and $f(a) = f(v_1)$. Thus, choosing f so that G has an irrational rotation number and consider $F : [0,1] \setminus \{a, c\} \to [0,1]$ given by*

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x > a \\ f(x)/f(a) & \text{if } x < a \end{cases},$$

see Figure 4. The interval I is a wandering interval for F with the exceptional point a belonging to the boundary of I. Thus, F has an Ewi attractor.

We now turn our attention to the parallax argument, as we mentioned in the introduction. For this, let $\mathbb{B}_2(f) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{W}_f} \{x \,;\, \omega_f(x) = \mathrm{Re}(\omega_f(\alpha))\}$ and define

$$\mathbb{B}(f) = \mathbb{B}_0(f) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(f) \cup \mathbb{B}_2(f).$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}_f^\infty = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f \,;\, \alpha^\star = \infty\}.$$

Lemma 17 (Parallax I)**.** *For almost every point $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}(f)$ we have that*

$$\omega_f(x) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f \setminus \mathbb{C}_f^\infty} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))} \tag{5}$$



FIGURE 4. The map F on the right is equal to f in $(a, 1]$ and it has an Ewi attractor.



FIGURE 5. The map g (on the right side) is equal to f outside the interval $[p_{\gamma_n}, c]$ and has $q \in (p_{\gamma_n}, c)$ as a fixed point.

Proof. Write $\{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_s\} = \mathbb{C}_f^\infty$. Let $\mathbb{C}_0 = \mathbb{C}_f$ and $\mathbb{C}_n = \mathbb{C}_f \setminus \{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n\}$, for $1 \le n \le s$. By Corollary 12, $\omega_f(x) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_0} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}$ for almost all $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}(f)$. Suppose by induction that $\omega_f(x) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{n-1}} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}$ for almost all $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}(f)$, with $1 \le n \le s$.

We may assume that $\gamma_n = c - \mathbb{i}$ for some $c \in \mathcal{C}_f$, the case $c + \mathbb{i}$ is similar. Let $\widetilde{c} \in \mathcal{C}_f$ and $\ell \ge 0$ be such that $f^\ell(p_{\gamma_n}) = \widetilde{c}$. Write $I = (p_{\gamma_n}, c)$ and $q = \frac{1}{2}(p_{\gamma_n} + c) \in I$ and consider $g : [0,1] \setminus \mathcal{C}_f \to [0,1]$ (see Figure 5) given by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \notin I \\ q + \sigma(f(x) - q) & \text{if } x \in I \end{cases},$$

where $\sigma = (2 \sup |f'|)^{-1}$. Note that $\mathbb{C}_g = \mathbb{C}_f \cup \{p_{\gamma_n} - \mathbb{i}, p_{\gamma_n} + \mathbb{i}\}$, q is an attracting fixed point

for g and I belongs to the basin of attraction of q. In particular, $\bigcup_{\alpha\in\{p_{\gamma_n}+\mathbb{i},\gamma_n\}}\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\subset[p_{\gamma_n},c]=\overline{I}$. Furthermore, as also $g|_{([0,1]\setminus\mathcal{C}_f)\setminus I}\equiv f|_{([0,1]\setminus\mathcal{C}_f)\setminus I}$, we can conclude that $\mathbb{B}(g)=\mathbb{B}(f)$. Besides that, if $x\notin\mathbb{B}(f)$ then $\mathcal{O}_f^+(x)\cap I=\emptyset$. As a consequence, $\mathcal{O}_f^+(x)=\mathcal{O}_g^+(x)$ and also $\omega_f(x)=\omega_g(x)$. In particular, $\omega_g(x)\cap I=\emptyset\ \forall\, x\in[0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(f)$ and

$$\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\eta))=\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\eta))\ \forall\,\eta\in\mathbb{C}_g\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I),\tag{6}$$

where $\mathbb{C}_g(I)=\{\eta\in\mathbb{C}_g\,;\,\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\eta))\cap I\neq\emptyset\}$.

As $\mathcal{C}_f\cap J_{\gamma_n}=\emptyset$ (because J_{γ_n} is a wandering interval) and as $f^\ell(p_{\gamma_n}-\mathbb{i})\in\mathbb{C}_f$, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{C}_g(I)=\{\eta\in\mathbb{C}_f\,;\,\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\eta))\cap I\neq\emptyset\}\cup\{p_{\gamma_n}+\mathbb{i},\gamma_n\}.$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 15 that

$$\omega_f(x)=\omega_g(x)\subset\left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_{n-1}}\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}\right)\cap\left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)}\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\right),\tag{7}$$

for almost all $x\in[0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(f)=[0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(g)$

If $\gamma_n-\mathbb{i}\in\mathbb{C}_g(I)$ then

$$\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)}\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)}\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))\subset\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\{\gamma_n\}}\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))$$

and it follows from (7) that

$$\omega_f(x)=\omega_g(x)\subset\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_{n-1}\setminus\{\gamma_n\}}\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_n}\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))},$$

for almost all $x\in[0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(f)=[0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(g)$.

Thus, assume that $\gamma_n-\mathbb{i}\notin\mathbb{C}_g(I)$ and let

$$K(g)=\{p_{\gamma_n},\cdots,f^{\ell-1}(p_{\gamma_n})\}=\{\mathrm{Re}(p_{\gamma_n}-\mathbb{i}),\cdots,\mathrm{Re}(g^{\ell-1}(p_{\gamma_n}-\mathbb{i}))\}.$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)}\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))=K(g)\cup\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)}\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha)).\tag{8}$$

Furthermore, using (6) we also get

$$\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)}\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))=\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)}\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha)).\tag{9}$$

From (7) and (8) follows that

$$\omega_f(x)=\omega_g(x)\subset\left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_{n-1}}\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}\right)\cap\left(K(g)\cup\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)}\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\right),$$

for almost all $x\in[0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(f)=[0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(g)$.

As $K(g)\cap(q,c)=\emptyset$, $K(g)$ is a finite set, $\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)}\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}$ is compact and

$$\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g}\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}=\left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g(I)}\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\right)\cup\left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)}\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\right)=$$

$$= \Big(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\Big) \cup \Big(K(g) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\Big) \subset$$

$$\subset (q,c) \cup \Big(K(g) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\Big),$$

we get that any $y \in K(g)\setminus\big(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\big)$ is an isolated point of $\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}$. Thus, it follows from Corollary 13 that

$$\mathrm{Leb}(\{x \in [0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(g)\,;\, K(g)\cap\omega_g(x)\neq\emptyset\}) = 0.$$

As a consequence, for almost all $x \in [0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(f)$,

$$\omega_f(x) = \omega_g(x) \subset \Big(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_{n-1}} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}\Big) \cap \Big(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\Big) =$$

$$= \Big(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_{n-1}} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}\Big) \cap \Big(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}\Big) \subset$$

$$\subset \Big(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_{n-1}} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}\Big) \cap \Big(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\{\gamma_n\}} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}\Big) =$$

$$= \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_{n-1}\cap(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\{\gamma_n\})} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))} = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_n} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))}.$$

With this, we finish the proof of the induction step, as well as the proof of the lemma. □

Given $\mathbb{K}\subset\mathbb{C}_f$, let $A(\mathbb{K}) = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{K}} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha^\star))}$. Thus, Lemma 17 can be rewritten as:

$$\omega_f(x) \subset A(\mathbb{C}_f^0), \text{ for almost all } x \in [0,1]\setminus(\mathbb{B}_0(f)\cup\mathbb{B}_1(0)). \tag{10}$$

Given $x\in[0,1]$, let $[\mathbb{C}_f]_x = \{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\,;\,\alpha^\star\in\omega_f(x)\}$. Notice that $[\mathbb{C}_f]_x = \mathbb{C}_f^0\cap[\mathbb{C}_f]_x$.

Lemma 18 (Parallax II)**.** *For almost all $x\in\mathbb{B}(f)$, we have $\omega_f(x)\subset A(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\{\gamma\})$ for all $\gamma\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus[\mathbb{C}_f]_x$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma\in\mathbb{C}_f$ and set $\mathcal{U}(\gamma) = \{x\in[0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(f)\,;\,\gamma\notin\omega_f(x)\}$, that is, $\mathcal{U}(\gamma) = \{x\in[0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(f)\,;\,\gamma\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus[\mathbb{C}_f]_x\}$. To prove the claim above, we may assume $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U}(\gamma))>0$. By Lemma 17, that $\gamma\in\mathbb{C}_f^0$. We can also assume that $\gamma = c-\mathbb{i}$ for some $c\in\mathcal{C}_f$, the case $c+\mathbb{i}$ is analogous. As $\gamma\notin\mathbb{C}_f^\infty$, either $\mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)\not\ni p_\gamma<c$ or $p_\gamma=\gamma$.

Let $\mathcal{U}(\gamma,n) = \{x\in\mathcal{U}(\gamma)\,;\,\mathcal{O}_f^+(x)\cap(p_\gamma-1/n,c)=\emptyset\}$ and let $n_0\geq 1$ be such that $\mathcal{C}_f\cap(p_\gamma-1/n_0,c)=\emptyset$. Thus, $\mathcal{U}(\gamma) = \bigcup_{n\geq n_0}\mathcal{U}(\gamma,n)$.

Take any $n\geq n_0$ so that $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U}(\gamma,n))>0$ and choose a point $q\in(c-1/n,p_\gamma)\cap\mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)$. By the maximality of J_γ, the interval $(c-1/n,p_\gamma)$ is not a wandering one. Thus, either $(c-1/n,p_\gamma)$ is contained in the basin of a periodic-like attractor, or $(c-1/n,p_\gamma)\cap\mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)\neq\emptyset$. The first situation is impossible, as it would imply that p_γ would be attracted by the periodic-like attractor and then J_γ wouldn't be a wandering interval. So, we have $(c-1/n,p_\gamma)\cap\mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathcal{C}_f)\neq\emptyset$ and we are free to choose q as above.



FIGURE 6. The map g (on the right side) is equal to f outside the interval $[q, c]$, it has $p_\gamma \in (q, c)$ as a fixed point and $\mathcal{C}_f \cup \{q\}$ as its exceptional set.

Taking $I = (q, c)$, we shall now consider two functions, $g : [0, 1] \setminus (\mathcal{C}_f \cup \{q\}) \to [0, 1]$, $j = 1, 2$, given by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \notin I \\ p_\gamma + \sigma(f(x) - p_\gamma) & \text{if } x \in I \end{cases},$$

where $\sigma = (2 \sup |f'|)^{-1}$.

In Figure 6 we can compare the maps f and g. Notice that $g|_I$ is a contraction and $\omega_g(x) = p_\gamma \ \forall\, x \in I$. Applying Lemma 15, we get for almost all $x \in [0, 1] \setminus (\mathbb{B}_0(g) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(g))$ that

$$\omega_g(x) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_g \setminus \mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\mathbb{C}_g(I) = \{\eta \in \mathbb{C}_g \,;\, \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\eta)) \cap (q, c) \neq \emptyset\} \supset \underbrace{\{\eta \in \mathbb{C}_f \,;\, \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\eta)) \cap (q, c) \neq \emptyset\}}_{\mathbb{C}_f(I)} \cup \{q + \mathbb{i}\} \ni \gamma.$$

As $\mathbb{C}_g \setminus \mathbb{C}_g(I) = (\{q - \mathbb{i}\} \cup \mathbb{C}_f) \setminus \mathbb{C}_g(I)$ and as $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\eta)) = \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\eta))$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{C}_f \setminus \mathbb{C}_g(I)$, if $q - \mathbb{i} \in \mathbb{C}_g(I)$ then

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_g \setminus \mathbb{C}_g(I)} \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha)) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f \setminus \mathbb{C}_g(I)} \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha)) = A(\mathbb{C}_f \setminus \mathbb{C}_g(I)) \subset A(\mathbb{C}_f \setminus \{\gamma\})$$

and in this case, for almost all $x \in \mathcal{U}(\gamma, n)$ we have

$$\omega_f(x) = \omega_g(x) \subset A(\mathbb{C}_f) \cap \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_g \setminus \mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))} \right) \subset$$

$$\subset A(\mathbb{C}_f) \cap A(\mathbb{C}_f \setminus \{\gamma\}) = A(\mathbb{C}_f \setminus \{\gamma\}).$$

So, we may suppose that $q - \mathbb{i} \notin \mathbb{C}_g(I)$. In this case,

$$\mathbb{C}_g \setminus \mathbb{C}_g(I) = \{q - \mathbb{i}\} \cup (\mathbb{C}_f \setminus \mathbb{C}_g(I)). \tag{12}$$

and so,

$$\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))} = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))} = A(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)). \tag{13}$$

Let $\ell \geq 1$ be so that $f^\ell(q) \in \mathcal{C}_f$ and write $K(g) = \{q, \cdots, f^{\ell-1}(q)\}$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(q-\mathbb{i})) = \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(q-\mathbb{i})) = K(g) \cup \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(f^\ell(q-\mathbb{i}))).$$

This implies that $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(q-\mathbb{i})) \subset \left(K(g)\cup\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha))\right)$ and so, it follows from (12) and (13) that

$$\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))} = K(g)\cup A(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)) = K_0 \cup A(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)), \tag{14}$$

where $K_0 = K(g)\setminus A(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I))$.

As K_0 is a finite set and $A(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I))$ is compact, we get that every $y \in K_0 = K(g)\setminus\left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}\right)$ is an isolated point of $\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_g} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_g^+(\alpha))}$. Thus, it follows from Corollary 13 that

$$\mathrm{Leb}(\{x\in[0,1]\setminus(\mathbb{B}_0(g)\cup\mathbb{B}_1(g))\,;\, K_0\cap\omega_g(x)\neq\emptyset\}) = 0. \tag{15}$$

Therefore, it follows from (11), (14), (15) and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_g(I)$ that

$$\omega_f(x) = \omega_g(x) \subset A(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_g(I)) \subset A(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\{\gamma\})$$

for almost all $x \in \mathcal{U}(\gamma, n)$. So, $\omega_f(x) \subset A(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\{\gamma\})$ for almost all $x \in \mathcal{U}(\gamma) = \bigcup_{n\geq n_0} \mathcal{U}(\gamma, n)$, proving the claim as well as the theorem. □

Theorem 1. *For almost every point $x \in [0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(f)$ we have that*

$$\omega_f(x) = \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha^\star\,\in\,\omega_f(x)\\ \alpha\,\in\,\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\mathbb{C}_f^\infty}} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha^\star))}.$$

Proof. Given $\mathbb{K}\subset\mathbb{C}_f$, let $A(\mathbb{K}) = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{K}} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha^\star))}$. Thus, Lemma 17 can be rewritten as: $\omega_f(x) \subset A(\mathbb{C}_f^0)$, for almost all $x \in [0,1]\setminus(\mathbb{B}_0(f)\cup\mathbb{B}_1(0)) \supset [0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(f)$. Given $x\in[0,1]$, let $[\mathbb{C}_f]_x = \{\alpha\in\mathbb{C}_f\,;\,\alpha^\star\in\omega_f(x)\}$. Notice that $[\mathbb{C}_f]_x = \mathbb{C}_f^0\cap[\mathbb{C}_f]_x$.

Using Lemma 18, we get

$$\omega_f(x) \subset A(\mathbb{C}_f^0)\cap\left(\bigcap_{\gamma\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus[\mathbb{C}_f]_x} A(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\{\gamma\})\right) = A(\mathbb{C}_f^0)\cap A\left(\bigcap_{\gamma\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus[\mathbb{C}_f]_x} \mathbb{C}_f\setminus\{\gamma\}\right) =$$

$$= A(\mathbb{C}_f^0)\cap A\left(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus\bigcup_{\gamma\in\mathbb{C}_f\setminus[\mathbb{C}_f]_x}\{\gamma\}\right) = A(\mathbb{C}_f^0)\cap A(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus(\mathbb{C}_f\setminus[\mathbb{C}_f]_x)) =$$

$$= A(\mathbb{C}_f^0)\cap A([\mathbb{C}_f]_x) = A(\mathbb{C}_f^0\cap[\mathbb{C}_f]_x) = A([\mathbb{C}_f]_x).$$

As $\alpha^\star\in\omega_f(x)$ implies that $\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha^\star))}\subset\omega_f(x)$, we always have $\omega_f(x)\supset A([\mathbb{C}_f]_x)$. Therefore, for almost all $x\in\mathbb{B}(f)$, $A([\mathbb{C}_f]_x)\subset\omega_f(x)\subset A([\mathbb{C}_f]_x)$. That is, $\omega_f(x) = A([\mathbb{C}_f]_x)$ for almost all $x\in[0,1]\setminus\mathbb{B}(f)$, proving the theorem.

□

Corollary 19. *Let $\mathcal{C}_f \subset (0,1)$ be a finite set and $f : [0,1] \setminus \mathcal{C}_f \to [0,1]$ be a C^3 local diffeomorphism with negative Schwarzian derivative and $f(\{0,1\}) \subset \{0,1\}$. If f does not have Ewi attractors, then $\omega_f(x) \cap \mathcal{C}_f \neq \emptyset$ for Lebesgue almost every $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}_0(f)$.*

Proof. As, by hypothesis, $\mathbb{B}_2(f) = \emptyset$, then $\mathbb{C}_f^\infty = \emptyset$, $\mathbb{B}(f) = \mathbb{B}_0(f) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(f)$ and $\alpha^* = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f$. Thus, Theorem 1 becomes

$$\omega_f(x) = \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in \omega_f(x) \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f}} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha^\star))}.$$

for almost every $x \in [0,1] \setminus (\mathbb{B}_0(f) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(f))$. As $\alpha \in \omega_f(x)$, with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f$, implies that $\mathrm{Re}(c) \in \omega_f(x)$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}_f$, then $\omega_f(x) \cap \mathcal{C}_f \neq \emptyset$ for almost all $x \in [0,1] \setminus (\mathbb{B}_0(f) \cup \mathbb{B}_1(f))$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4, $\mathrm{Interior}(\omega_f(x)) \cap \mathcal{C}_f \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in \mathbb{B}_1(f)$ and so, we conclude the proof.

□

Proof of Theorem A. Using Singer's Theorem (see [27]), we can conclude that the basin of attraction of each periodic-like attractor contains at least one element of $\mathbb{C}_f \setminus \mathcal{W}_f$. This means that, writing $\alpha = c \pm \mathbb{i} \in \mathbb{C}_f \setminus \mathcal{W}_f$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(c, c+\varepsilon) \subset \beta_f(A_j)$ or $(c-\varepsilon, c) \subset \beta_f(A_j)$. Moreover, each cycle of intervals contains at least one point $c \in \mathbb{C}_f$ (Lemma 4), and so it contains at least two elements of $\mathbb{C}_f \setminus \mathcal{W}_f$, i.e., $c \pm \mathbb{i}$. By the definition of Ewi attractors, each of them contains at least one element of $\mathbb{C}_f$. Furthermore, if $\mathbb{C}_0$ is the set of all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f$ contained in the basin of a periodic-like attractor and $\mathcal{C}_1$ is the set of all $c \in \mathcal{C}_f$ contained in the interior of a cycle of intervals then $\mathbb{C}_0 \cap (\mathcal{C}_1 \pm \mathbb{i}) = \mathbb{C}_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_f = (\mathcal{C}_1 \pm \mathbb{i}) \cap \mathcal{W}_f = \emptyset$. Thus, f has at most $2\,\#\mathcal{C}_f$ attractors that are periodic-like attractors or cycle of intervals or Ewi attractors. Let $\{A_1, \cdots, A_s\}$ be the collection of all periodic-like attractors, cycle of intervals and Ewi attractors, where $0 \leq s \leq 2\,\#\mathcal{C}_f$. Thus, $\beta_f(A_1) \cup \cdots \cup \beta_f(A_s) \supset \mathbb{B}(f)$ and for every $j = 1, \cdots, s$ we have $\omega_f(x) = A_j$ $\forall\, x \in \mathbb{B}(f) \cap \beta_f(A_j)$.

On the other hand, if $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}(f)$, it follows from the Theorem 1 that almost surely we have

$$\omega_f(x) = \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha^\star \in \omega_f(x) \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f \setminus \mathbb{C}_f^\infty}} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha^\star))}.$$

Moreover, $\alpha^\star \notin \omega_f(x)$ $\forall\, x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}(f)$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f \setminus (\mathbb{C}_0 \cup (\mathcal{C}_1 \pm \mathbb{i}) \cup \mathbb{C}_f^\infty)$. Thus, if $\mathcal{U}$ is the collection of all non-empty subset of $\mathbb{C}_f \setminus (\mathbb{C}_0 \cup (\mathcal{C}_1 \pm \mathbb{i}) \cup \mathbb{C}_f^\infty)$ then for almost all $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}(f)$ there is some $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$\omega_f(x) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in U_x} \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\alpha^\star))} = A(U_x).$$

Thus, for almost all $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}(f)$ there is some $A \in \{A(U)\,;\, U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ such that $\omega_f(x) = A$. As

$$\#\{A(U)\,;\, U \in \mathcal{U}\} \leq \#\mathcal{U} \leq 2^{2\,\#\mathcal{C}_f - (\#\mathbb{C}_0 + 2\,\#\mathcal{C}_1 + \#\mathbb{C}^\infty)} - 1 \leq 2^{2\,\#\mathcal{C}_f - (s - \#\mathcal{W}_f)} - 1, \qquad (16)$$

if we write $\{A_{s+1}, \cdots, A_{s+t}\} = \{A(U)\,;\, U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ and $n = s+t$ then, for almost all $x \in [0,1]$ we have

$$\omega_f(x) = A_j, \text{ for some } 1 \le j \le n \le s + 2^{2\,\#\mathcal{C}_f - (s - \#\mathcal{W}_f)} - 1 \le 2^{1+2\,\#\mathcal{C}_f}. \tag{17}$$

In particular,

$$\beta_f((A_1) \cup \cdots \cup \beta_f(A_n)) = 1.$$

□

6. Contracting Lorenz maps

In the previous section we have obtained an upper bound for the number of attractors for maps of the interval based on the number of their critical points. Applying inequality (17) to a contracting Lorenz map, we get that the number of attractors is at most $2^4 = 16$. A more careful calculation, using inequality (16) and Singer's Theorem, shows that there are at most three attractors. In this section we refine our method in the specific case of contracting Lorenz maps, proving Theorem C, where we state that this number is one, for maps that do not display attracting periodic orbits.

Contracting Lorenz maps, that comes from dynamics of three dimensional flows, is an emblematic case, as it is the simplest case of maps of the interval presenting a critical point that is, at the same time, a discontinuity.

In this section we shall deal with orientation preserving maps of the interval. We say that $f : [0,1] \setminus \{c\} \to [0,1]$, $c \in (0,1)$, is an *orientation preserving map* if for every $x \in [0,1] \setminus \{c\}$ there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f(a) < f(b)$ $\forall\, a, b \in (x-\varepsilon, x+\varepsilon) \cap [0,1] \setminus \{c\}$ with $a < b$. If f is a local diffeomorphism, this condition is equivalent to $f'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in [0,1] \setminus \{c\}$.

An interval $J = (a,b) \subset [0,1]$ is called *nice* with respect to f if $\mathcal{O}_f^+(\partial J) \cap J = \emptyset$. The notion was introduced by Martens [25] to study induced maps of the interval. In most of the results of this section, like Lemma 20, 21 and 22, we are not asking the intervals to be nice, but only "partially" nice, that is, the orbit of one of the points in the boundary of the interval should not intersect the interval. This is important to prove Proposition 24 and to conclude that contracting Lorenz maps do not admit Ewi attractors.

Lemma 20. *Let $f : [0,1] \setminus \{c\} \to [0,1]$ be an orientation preserving local homeomorphism and $J = (a,b)$ be an open interval with $c \in J$. Let $F : J^* \to J$ be the first return map to J, where $J^* = J \cap \bigcup_{j \ge 1} f^{-j}(J)$. If $\mathcal{O}_f^+(a) \cap (a,b) = \emptyset$ and I is a connected component of $J^* \cap (a,c)$ such that $F(I) \neq J$ then $c \in \partial I$ and $F(I) = (a, F(c_-))$, where $F(c_\pm) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} F(c \pm \varepsilon)$. Analogously, if $\mathcal{O}_f^+(b) \cap (a,b) = \emptyset$ and I is a connected component of $J^* \cap (c,b)$ such that $F(I) \neq J$ then $c \in \partial I$ and $F(I) = (F(c_+), b)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_f^+(a) \cap (a,c) = \emptyset$, the proof of the case when $\mathcal{O}_f^+(b) \cap (c,b) = \emptyset$ is analogous. Let $I = (t,s)$ be a connected component of $J^* \cap (a,c)$. Let $n \ge 1$ be such that $F|_I = f^n|_I$ and let $T = (t,s')$ with $s \le s'$ be the maximal interval such that $f^n|_T$ is a homeomorphism and that $F^n(T) \subset J$. If $s < s'$ then there is some $1 \le \ell < n$ such that $f^\ell(T) \cap J \neq \emptyset$. As $f^\ell(I) \cap J = \emptyset$, since n is the first return time to J points in I, we get $J \not\supset f^\ell(T)$. As $c \notin f^\ell(T)$, because $f^n|_T$ is a diffeomorphism, and as f is orientation preserving, we get $f^\ell(t) < f^\ell(s) < a < f^\ell(s')$. But this implies that $f^{n-\ell}(a) \in (a,b)$, contradicting our assumption. So, $s = s'$ and $T = I$.

Now, suppose that $F(I) \neq J$. By the maximality of T there is some $0 \leq \ell < n$ such that $c \in f^\ell(\partial T)$. As $f^j(I) \cap J = \emptyset \ \forall\, 1 \leq j < n$, we get $c \in \partial I$, i.e., $I = T = (t, c)$ and so, $F(I) = (F(t_+), F(c_-))$, $F(t_+) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} F(t + \varepsilon)$. Furthermore, as $t \neq c$ and as $f^j(I) \cap (a, b) = \emptyset \ \forall\, 0 \leq j < n$, it follows that $f^j(t) \neq c \ \forall 0 \leq j < n$. So,

$$F(I) = (f^n(t), F(c_-)) \tag{18}$$

We claim that $f^n(t) = a$. Indeed, as $f^n(t)$ is well defined, f is orientation preserving and $f^n((t, c)) \subset (a, b)$, we get $a \leq f^n(t) < b$. Thus, if $f^n(t) \neq a$ then $a < f^n(t) < b$. By continuity, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f^n|_{(t-\varepsilon, c)}$ is a diffeomorphism, $f^j((t - \varepsilon, c)) \cap (a, b) = \emptyset$ $\forall 0 < j < n$ and $f^n((t - \varepsilon, c)) \subset (a, b)$, which contradicts the fact that I is a connected component of J^*.

Thus, as $F(I) = (a, F(c_-))$, the proof of the lemma follows from (18).

□

Lemma 21. *Let $f : [0, 1] \setminus \{c\} \to [0, 1]$ be an orientation preserving local homeomorphism and $J = (a, b) \in \mathcal{N}_f$ be an interval with $c \in J$. If $\mathcal{O}_f^+(a) \cap (a, b) = \emptyset$ then either $\#\{j \geq 0\,;\, f^j(x) \in (a, c)\} < \infty \ \forall\, x \in (a, c)$ or $\exists J' = (a', b)$ such that $c \in J' \subset J$, $\mathcal{O}_f^+(a') \cap (a, b) = \emptyset$ and $a' \in \mathrm{Per}(f)$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{O}_f^+(b) \cap (a, b) = \emptyset$, then either $\#\{j \geq 0\,;\, f^j(x) \in (c, b)\} < \infty$ $\forall\, x \in (c, b)$ or $\exists J' = (a, b')$ such that $c \in J' \subset J$, $\mathcal{O}_f^+(b') \cap (a, b) = \emptyset$ and $b' \in \mathrm{Per}(f)$.*

Proof. If $a \in \mathrm{Per}(f)$ there is nothing to prove. Thus, we may assume that $a \notin \mathrm{Per}(f)$.

Suppose $\exists\, x_0 \in (a, c)$ such that $\#\{j \geq 0\,;\, f^j(x_0) \in (a, c)\} = \infty$. Let $F : J^* \to J$ be the first return map to J, where $J^* = J \cap \bigcup_{j \geq 1} f^{-j}(J)$.

Claim. $\mathrm{Fix}(F) \cap (a, c) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of the claim. Firstly note that $a \in \partial J$ does not belong to the boundary of any connected component of J^*. Indeed, if $I = (a, p)$ is a connected component of J^*, then by Lemma 20, $F(a + \mathbb{i}) = a + \mathbb{i}$. Let n be such that $F|_I = f^n|_I$. As $\mathcal{O}_f^+(a) \cap (a, b) = \emptyset$, we have that $a \notin \mathcal{O}_f^-(c)$. So, $F(a + \mathbb{i}) = a + \mathbb{i}$ implies that $f^n(a) = a$, contradicting our assumption.

First assume that $J^* \cap (a, c)$ has more than one connected component. In this case, let $I = (p, q)$ be a connected component of J^* such that $q < c$. Then, by Lemma 20, $F(I) = J \supset I$. This implies that either F has a fixed point $y \in I$ proving the claim or that $p = a$ and $F(a + \mathbb{i}) = a + \mathbb{i}$. As we have seen before, $F(a + \mathbb{i}) = a + \mathbb{i}$ implies that $f^n(a) = a$, where $F|_I = f^n|_I$, contradicting our assumption.

Now, suppose that $J^* \cap (a, c)$ has only one connected component. Let $I = (p, q)$ be this single connected component and let $n \geq 1$ be such that $F|_I = f^n|_I$. We may suppose that $F|_I$ does not have a fixed point. Let $I = (p, c)$ for some $p \in [a, c)$. By Lemma 20, c must belong to the boundary of I or we have that $p = a$ and also $F(a + \mathbb{i}) = a + \mathbb{i}$. Again $F(a + \mathbb{i}) = a + \mathbb{i}$ implies that $a \in \mathrm{Per}(f)$, contradicting our assumption. Thus, $a < p < c$.

As $F(I) = (a, F(c_-))$, by Lemma 20, and as $F(x) \neq x \ \forall\, x \in I$, we get $F(x) < x$, $\forall\, x \in I$. Because $I = J^* \cap (a, c)$ and $\#\{j \geq 0\,;\, f^j(x_0) \in (a, c)\} = \infty$, we get

$$a < p < \cdots < F^n(x_0) < F^{n-1}(x_0) < \cdots < F(x_0) < x_0 < c.$$

So, $q := \lim_n F^n(x_0)$ belongs to (a, c) and satisfies $\lim_{\varepsilon \to \downarrow 0} F(q + \varepsilon) = q \geq p > a$. Furthermore, as $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} F(p + \varepsilon) = a$, again by Lemma 20, we get $q \in (p, c) = I$. Therefore, q is indeed a fixed point of F, proving the claim. □

To finish the proof of the lemma, let $q_0 \in \mathrm{Fix}(F) \cap [a,c)$ and let n be the period of q_0 with respect to f. As $F(q_0) = f^n(q_0) = q_0$ and F is the first return map to (a,b), we get $\{f(q), \cdots, f^{n-1}(q_0)\} \cap (a,b) = \emptyset$. Thus, $\mathcal{O}_f^+(q_0) \cap (q_0, b) = \emptyset$, proving the lemma.

□

Lemma 22. *Let $f : [0,1] \setminus \{c\} \to [0,1]$ be an orientation preserving local homeomorphism and let (a,b) be an interval with $c \in (a,b)$. If $\mathcal{O}_f^+(a) \cap (a,b) = \emptyset$, $a \in \mathrm{Per}(f)$, $c - \mathbb{i}$ does not belong to the basin of a periodic-like attractor, $c \in \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c-\mathbb{i})) \cap (0,c)}$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c-\mathbb{i})) \cap (c,b) = \emptyset$, then there exist an open set $U \subset (a,c)$ and a continuous map $R : U \to \mathbb{N}$ such that*

(1) $U \supset \{x \in (a,c)\,;\, \mathcal{O}_f^+(f^j(x)) \cap (a,b) \neq \emptyset \ \forall\, j > 0\}$;
(2) $F : U \to (a,b)$ *given by* $F(x) = f^{R(x)}(x)$ *is a local homeomorphism;*
(3) $F(I) = (a,b)$ *for every connected component I of U.*

Similarly, if $\mathcal{O}_f^+(b) \cap (a,b) = \emptyset$, $b \in \mathrm{Per}(f)$, $c + \mathbb{i}$ does not belong to the basin of a periodic-like attractor, $c \in \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c+\mathbb{i})) \cap (0,c)}$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c+\mathbb{i})) \cap (c,b) = \emptyset$, then there exist an open set $U \subset (c,b)$ and a continuous map $R : U \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

(1) $U \supset \{x \in (c,b)\,;\, \mathcal{O}_f^+(f^j(x)) \cap (a,b) \neq \emptyset \ \forall\, j > 0\}$;
(2) $F : U \to (a,b)$ *given by* $F(x) = f^{R(x)}(x)$ *is a local homeomorphism;*
(3) $F(I) = (a,b)$ *for every connected component I of U.*

Proof. Our purpose in this lemma is to construct an induced map $F : U \to (a,b)$, with $U \subset (a,c)$ or $U \subset (c,b)$ such that $F(I) = (a,b)$ for every connected component I of U. The map F will not be the restriction of the first return map to (a,b) and it will be constructed inductively. For this, suppose that $a \in \mathrm{Per}(f)$, $c - \mathbb{i}$ does not belong to the basin of a periodic-like attractor, $c \in \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c-\mathbb{i})) \cap (0,c)}$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c-\mathbb{i})) \cap (c,b) = \emptyset$ (the other case concerning $c + \mathbb{i}$ is analogous).

Let $r : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{N}$ be the first return time to (a,b), i.e., $r(x) = \min\{j \geq 1\,;\, f^j(x) \in (a,b)\}$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is the set of points $x \in (a,b) \setminus \{c\}$ such that $f^n(x) \in (a,b)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{U} \to (a,b)$ be the first return map to (a,b), that is, $\mathcal{F}(x) = f^r(x)$.

Let $U_0 = \mathcal{U} \cap (a,c)$ and $\mathcal{P}_0$ be the collection of connected components of U_0. As $a \in \mathrm{Per}(f)$ and $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c-\mathbb{i})) \cap (a,c) \neq \emptyset$, there are $I_a, I_0 \in \mathcal{P}_0$ such that $a \in \partial I_a$ and $c \in \partial I_0$. Write $I_a = (a,\alpha)$ and $I_0 = (t_0, c)$. Note that $\mathcal{F}(I) = (a,b)$ $\forall I \in \mathcal{P}_0 \setminus \{I_0\}$ and, as f preserves orientation, $\mathcal{F}(I_0) = (a, \mathrm{Re}(f^{r(I_0)}(c-\mathbb{i}))) \subset (a,c)$. Furthermore, $I_0 \neq I_a$. Otherwise $I_0 = (a,c)$ and, as $\mathcal{F}(I_0) \subset (a,c)$, this will imply the existence of a periodic-like attractor, contradicting our hypothesis. Set $R_0 = r|_{(a,c)}$ and $F_0 = \mathcal{F}|_{U_0}$.

We now construct a sequence $F_n : U_n \to (a,b)$ of f-induced maps defined on open sets $U_n \subset (a,c)$, with induced time R_n, i.e., $F_n(x) = f^{R_n(x)}(x)$. The collection of connected components of U_n will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \geq 0$ there will be an element of $\mathcal{P}_n$, denoted by I_n, such that $c \in \partial I_n$. This sequence will satisfy the following properties:

(1) $I_a \in \mathcal{P}_n$ $\forall\, n$;
(2) $F_n(I) = (a,b)$ $\forall\, I \in \mathcal{P}_n \setminus \{I_n\}$ $\forall\, n$;
(3) $F_n(I_n) = \big(a, \mathrm{Re}(f^{R_n(I_n)}(c-\mathbb{i}))\big) \subset (a,c)$ $\forall\, n$;
(4) $R_0(I_0) < R_1(I_1) < R_2(I_2) < \cdots$;
(5) $a < t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \cdots$, where $(t_n, c) = I_n$ $\forall\, n$;



FIGURE 7. In this picture, F_0 is the restriction to the interval (a, c) of the first return map to (a, b) and F_1 is the first step in the inductive construction in the proof of Lemma 22.

(6) $U_{n+1} \cap (a, t_n) = U_n \cap (a, t_n)\ \forall\, n$;
(7) $F_{n+1}|_{(a,t_n)\cap U_{n+1}} = F_n|_{(a,t_n)\cap U_n}\ \forall\, n$;
(8) $U_n \supset \{x \in (a, c)\ ;\ \mathcal{O}_f^+(f^j(x)) \cap (a, b) \neq \emptyset\ \forall\, j > 0\}\ \forall\, n$.

Let $\ell_0 = 1+\max\{j \geq 1\,;\, F^j(I_0) \subset I_a\}$. As $c \in \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c - \mathbb{i})) \cap (a, c)}$, ℓ_0 is the first return time with respect to F_0 of "c_-" to (α, c). Let $R_1(x) = R_0(x)$ if $x \in (a, t_0) \cap U_0$ and $R_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell_0} R_0\big(F_0^j(x)\big)$ for $x \in (t_0, c) \cap F_0^{-\ell_0}(U_0)$. Set $U_1 = \big(U_0 \cap (a, t_0)\big) \cup \big((t_0, c) \cap F_0^{-\ell_0}(U_0)\big)$ and let $F_1 : U_1 \to (a, b)$ be given by $F_1(x) = f^{R_1}(x)$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_1$ be the collection of connected components of U_1. Let $I_1 = (F_0^{\ell_0}|_{I_0})^{-1}(I)$, where I is the element of $\mathcal{P}_0$ containing $\mathrm{Re}(F_0^{\ell_0}(c - \mathbb{i}))$. By construction, $I_0 \supsetneqq I_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$, $c \in \partial I_1$ and $R_1(I_1) = R_0(I_0) + (\ell_0 - 1)r(I_a) + R_0(\mathrm{Re}(F_0^{\ell_0}(c_-))) \geq R_0(I_0) + 1$. As $U_1 \supset F_0^{-\ell_0}(U_0)$, we get $U_1 \supset \{x \in (a, c)\ ;\ \mathcal{O}_f^+(f^j(x)) \cap (a, b) \neq \emptyset\ \forall\, j > 0\}$. Define $F_1 : U_1 \to (a, b)$ by $F_1(x) = f^{R_1(x)}(x)$ (see Figure 7).

Inductively, suppose that $F_{n-1} : U_{n-1} \to (a, b)$ is already defined. Let $\ell_{n-1} = 1+\max\{j \geq 1\,;\, F_{n-1}^j(I_n) \subset I_a\}$, i.e., ℓ_{n-1} is the first return time with respect to F_{n-1} of "c_-" to (α, c). Let $R_n(x) = R_{n-1}(x)$ if $x \in (a, t_{n-1}) \cap U_{n-1}$ and $R_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell_{n-1}} R_{n-1}\big((F_{n-1})^j(x)\big)$ for $x \in (t_{n-1}, c) \cap (F_{n-1})^{-\ell_{n-1}}(U_{n-1})$. Set $U_n = \big(U_{n-1} \cap (a, t_{n-1})\big) \cup \big((t_{n-1}, c) \cap (F_{n-1})^{-\ell_{n-1}}(U_{n-1})\big)$. Let $F_n : U_n \to (a, b)$ be given by $F_n(x) = f^{R_n}(x)$, $\mathcal{P}_n$ be the collection of connected components of U_n and $I_n = ((F_{n-1})^{\ell_{n-1}}|_{I_{n-1}})^{-1}(I)$, where I is the element of $\mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ containing $\mathrm{Re}((F_{n-1})^{\ell_{n-1}}(c - \mathbb{i}))$. By construction, $I_{n-1} \supsetneqq I_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $c \in \partial I_n$ and $R_n(I_n) = R_{n-1}(I_{n-1}) + (\ell_{n-1} - 1)r(I_a) + R_{n-1}(\mathrm{Re}((F_{n-1})^{\ell_{n-1}}(c - \mathbb{i}))) \geq R_{n-1}(I_{n-1}) + 1$. As $U_n \supset (F_{n-1})^{-\ell_{n-1}}(U_{n-1})$, we get $U_n \supset \{x \in (a, c)\ ;\ \mathcal{O}_f^+(f^j(x)) \cap (a, b) \neq \emptyset\ \forall\, j > 0\}$.

Claim 3. $t_n \to c$.

Proof. Otherwise $f^j|_{(t_\infty,c)}$ will be a homeomorphism for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $t_\infty = \lim_n t_n$ (because F_k is monotone on (t_∞, c) $\forall\, k \geq 1$ and $R_k((t_\infty, c)) = R_k(I_k) \to \infty$). That is, (t_∞, c) is a homterval. It follows from the homterval lemma (Lemma 3) that (t_∞, c) is either a wandering interval or $(t_\infty, c) \subset \mathbb{B}_0 \cup \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathrm{Per}(f))$. As (t_∞, c) can not be a wandering interval, because $c \in \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c - \mathbb{i}) \cap (0, c))}$, we get $(t_\infty, c) \subset \mathbb{B}_0 \cup \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathrm{Per}(f))$. As $c \in \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c - \mathbb{i})) \cap (0, c)}$ and $(t_\infty, c) \subset \mathbb{B}_0 \cup \mathcal{O}_f^-(\mathrm{Per}(f))$, it follows that c_- is an attracting periodic-like point, which contradicts that $c - \mathbb{i}$ does not belong to the basin of a periodic-like attractor. □

To finish the proof, set $t_{-1} = a$, $U = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} U_n \cap (t_{n-1}, t_n)$ and $F : U \to (a, c)$ by $F|_{U_n \cap (t_{n-1}, t_n)} = F_n|_{U_n \cap (t_{n-1}, t_n)}$ for $n \geq 0$.

□

Notation. *To make it short, instead of writing $p \pm \mathbb{i} \in \omega_f(x)$ as in Definition 1, we will write $p_\pm \in \omega_f(x)$. That is, we write $p_- \in \omega_f(x)$, $p \in [0, 1]$, if there is a sequence $n_j \to \infty$ such that $f^{n_j}(x) \nearrow p$, i.e., $p \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap [0, p)}$. Similarly, $p_+ \in \omega_f(x)$ if $p \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap (p, 1]}$.*

Lemma 23. *Let $f : [0, 1] \setminus \{c\} \to [0, 1]$ be a C^3 contracting Lorenz map with negative Schwarzian derivative and without attracting periodic-like orbits. If $(a, c) \subset [0, 1]$ is not a wandering interval and $c \in \omega_f(x)$ for all $x \in (a, c) \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(c)$ then $\exists b \in (c, 1)$ such that $c_- \in \omega_f(x)$ and $c_+ \in \omega_f(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b) \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(c)$.*

Similarly, if $(c, b) \subset [0, 1]$ is not a wandering interval and $c \in \omega_f(x)$ for every $x \in (c, b) \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(c)$ then $\exists a \in (0, c)$ such that $c_- \in \omega_f(x)$ and $c_+ \in \omega_f(x)$ $\forall\, x \in (a, b) \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(c)$.

Proof. Suppose that $c \in \omega_f(x)$ for all $x \in (a, c) \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(c)$, (a, c) is not wandering and it is not contained in the basin of attraction of a periodic-like orbit. It follows from the homterval lemma that there is some $n \geq 1$ such that $c \in f^n((a, c))$ and that $f^n|_{(a,c)}$ is a diffeomorphism. Therefore, $c \in \omega_f(x)$ for every $x \in f^n((a, c)) \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(c)$. Let $I = (a_{max}, b_{max})$ be the maximal open interval such that

$$c \in \omega_f(x) \text{ for every } x \in I \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(c). \tag{19}$$

Let $t_0, t_1 \geq 0$ be the smallest integers such that $f^{t_0}((a_{max}, c)) \cap I$ and $f^{t_1}((c, b_{max})) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ (because of (19), these numbers are well defined). Furthermore, it follows from the maximality that I is a nice interval and $f^{t_0}((a_{max}, c)) \subset I \supset f^{t_1}((c, b_{max}))$. As f is an orientation preserving map, $I = (a_{max}, b_{max})$ is a nice interval and f does not admit attracting periodic-like orbits, we get $f^{t_0}(a_{max}) = a_{max} < c < \mathrm{Re}(f^{t_0}(c - \mathbb{i})) < b_{max}$, $a_{max} < \mathrm{Re}(f^{t_1}(c + \mathbb{i})) < c < b_{max} = f^{t_1}(b_{max})$ and that both $F_0 := f^{t_0}|_{(a_{max}, c)}$ and $F_1 := f^{t_1}|_{(c, b_{max})}$ are diffeomorphisms. Thus, the first return map to $[a, b]$, $F : [a, b] \setminus \{c\} \to [a, b]$, is given by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} F_0(x) & \text{if } x < c \\ F_1(x) & \text{if } x > c \end{cases}$$

and it is conjugated to a contracting Lorenz map. Furthermore, $\mathcal{O}_F^+(x) \cap (a, c) \neq \emptyset \neq \mathcal{O}_F^+(x) \cap (c, b)$ for every $x \in (a, b) \setminus \mathcal{O}_F^-(c)$, because $F|_{(a,c)}$ is strictly increasing, $F|_{(c,b)}$ is

strictly decreasing and $\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} F(c+\varepsilon) < c < \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} F(c-\varepsilon)$. This implies that $\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap (a,c) \neq \emptyset \neq \mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap (c,b)$ for every $x \in (a,b) \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(c)$. Thus, for every $x \in (a,b) \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^-(c)$, $\mathcal{O}_f^+(x)$ accumulates on c from both sides.

□

Contracting Lorenz maps without periodic attractors. To prove Theorem C we shall consider two main cases: maps with or without periodic-like attractors. Firstly, we will prove the uniqueness of attractors for maps without periodic-like attractor (Corollary 25). Thereafter, we study maps with periodic-like attractors, showing that we get one or, at most, two attractors.

Proposition 24. *Let $f : [0,1] \setminus \{c\} \to [0,1]$ be a C^3 contracting Lorenz map with negative Schwarzian derivative. If f does not have an attracting periodic-like orbit, then $c_- \in \omega_f(x)$ and $c_+ \in \omega_f(x)$ for Lebesgue almost all $x \in [0,1]$. In particular, f does not admit Ewi attractors.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists $W \subset [0,1]$ with positive measure and such that $c_+ \notin \omega_f(x)$ $\forall\, x \in W$ (the case where $c_- \notin \omega_f(x)$ for a positive set of points $x \in [0,1]$ is analogous).

As $\mathrm{Leb}(\{x\,;\, c \notin \omega_f(x)\}) = 0$ (Lemma 2), we get that $c_- \in \omega_f(x)$ for Lebesgue almost every $x \in W$. Thus, there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $V \subset W$, with $\mathrm{Leb}(V) > 0$, such that

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(x)} \cap (c, c+\varepsilon) = \emptyset \tag{20}$$

and $c_- \in \omega_f(x)$ $\forall\, x \in V$.

Notice that $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c-\mathbb{i})) \cap (c, c+\varepsilon) = \emptyset$. Otherwise $\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap (c, c+\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ $\forall\, x \in V$, because f is continuous and $c \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap (0,c)}$ $\forall\, x \in V$.

If $c \in \omega_f(x)$ for all $x \in (c-\varepsilon, c) \setminus \mathcal{C}_f^-(c)$, then the proof follows from Lemma 23. Thus, we may assume that this is not the case. That is, for every $\delta > 0$ there are $a_\delta \in (c-\delta, c)$ so that $c \notin \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(a_\delta)}$.

Firstly, suppose that $c-\mathbb{i}$ is not recurrent, i.e., $c-\mathbb{i} \notin \omega_f(c-\mathbb{i})$. In this case, let $\delta \in (0,\varepsilon)$ be such that $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(f(c-\mathbb{i}))) \cap (c-\delta, c) = \emptyset$ and define $a = \max(\mathcal{O}_f^+(a_\delta) \cap (0,c))$ and $b = \min\big(\{c+\delta\} \cup \big(\mathcal{O}_f^+(a_\delta) \cap (c,1)\big)\big)$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{O}_f^+(a) \cap (a,b) = \emptyset$. Let $J = (a,b)$, $F : J^* \to J$ be the first return map to J, where $J^* = \{x \in J\,;\, \mathcal{O}_f^+(f(x)) \cap J \neq \emptyset\}$, and set $U = J^* \cap (a,c)$. As $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c-\mathbb{i})) \cap J = \emptyset$, it follows from Lemma 20 that $F(I) = J$ for every connected component I of U.

Now suppose that $c-\mathbb{i}$ is recurrent, $c-\mathbb{i} \in \omega_f(c-\mathbb{i})$. In this case, let $a_1 = \max(\mathcal{O}_f^+(a_\varepsilon) \cap (0,c))$ and $b = \min\big(\{c+\varepsilon\} \cup \big(\mathcal{O}_f^+(a_\varepsilon) \cap (c,1)\big)\big)$. Thus, $\mathcal{O}_f^+(a_1) \cap (a_1, b) = \emptyset$. As $\#\{j \geq 0\,;\, f^j(x_0) \in (a_1, c)\} = \infty$ if $x_0 \in V$, it follows from Lemma 21 that there is $a \in (a_1, c)$, such that $a \in \mathrm{Per}(f)$ and $\mathcal{O}_f^+(a) \cap (a,b) = \emptyset$. Set $J = (a,b)$. Let $U \subset (a,c)$, $F : U \to (a,b)$ and $R : U \to \mathbb{N}$ be given by Lemma 22. In this case, we also have $F(I) = J$ for every connected component of U.

Note that, independently of $c-\mathbb{i}$ being recurrent or not, $V \subset U$. Let $\mathcal{C}_0 \subset (c,b)$ be a finite set and $g : (c,b) \setminus \mathcal{C}_0 \to (c,b)$ be any C^3 orientation preserving local diffeomorphism

with $Sg < 0$. Set $\mathcal{U} = U \cup (c,b) \setminus \mathcal{C}_0$ and $G : \mathcal{U} \to (a,b)$ by

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} F(x) & \text{if } x \in U \\ g(x) & \text{if } x \in (c,b) \setminus \mathcal{C}_0 \end{cases}$$

Because $G^n(x) = F^n(x)\ \forall\, x \in V$ and $\forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get $G(V) \subset V$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the collection of connected components of $\mathcal{U}$. Notice that $G(P) = (a,b)\ \forall\, P \in \mathcal{P}$. Thus, as $SG < 0$ and $Leb\big(\{x \in \bigcap_{n\geq 0} G^{-n}(\mathcal{U})\,;\, \omega_f(x) \not\subset \{a,b\}\}\big) \geq Leb(V) > 0$, it follows from Lemma 10 that $\omega_F(x) = \omega_G(x) = [a,b]$ for almost every $x \in V$. This implies that $\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap (c, c+\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ for almost every $x \in V$, which contradicts (20).

□

Corollary 25. *Let $f : [0,1] \setminus \{c\} \to [0,1]$ be a C^3 contracting Lorenz map with negative Schwarzian derivative. If f does not have attracting periodic-like orbits then $\omega_f(x) = \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(c_-) \cup \mathcal{O}_f^+(c_+)}$ for Lebesgue almost every $x \in [0,1]$.*

Proof. This Corollary follows directly from Theorem 1 and Proposition 24 above. Indeed, it follows from Proposition 24 that f does not have an Ewi attractor. Thus, $\mathbb{B}_0(f) = \mathbb{B}_2(f) = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{W}_f = \mathbb{C}_f^\infty = \emptyset$ and also $\alpha^\star = \alpha$, $\forall\, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_f$, where $\mathbb{C}_f = \{c - \mathbb{i}, c + \mathbb{i}\}$. As a consequence, it follows from Theorem 1 that $\omega_f(x) = \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c-\mathbb{i}))} \cup \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c+\mathbb{i}))}$ for almost every $x \in [0,1]$, since, by Proposition 24, we have that $\alpha \in \omega_f(x)$ for almost every $x \in [0,1]$.

□

Contracting Lorenz maps with periodic attractors. By Singer's Theorem [38], it follows that a contracting Lorenz map can have at most two attracting periodic-like orbits. Nevertheless, it is not obvious that the union of the basins of attraction of the attracting periodic-like orbits contains almost every point.

Lemma 26. *Let $f : [0,1] \setminus \{c\} \to [0,1]$ be a C^3 contracting Lorenz map with negative Schwarzian derivative. If there are periodic-like attractors A_1 and A_2 (A_2 may be equal to A_1) and $\delta > 0$ such that $\mathrm{Leb}(((c-\delta, c) \cup (c, c+\delta)) \setminus (\beta_f(A_1) \cup \beta_f(A_2))) = 0$, then $\mathrm{Leb}(\beta_f(A_1) \cup \beta_f(A_2)) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $J = (a,b)$ be the maximal open interval containing c such that $\mathrm{Leb}((a,b) \setminus (\beta_f(A_1) \cup \beta_f(A_2))) = 0$. It is easy to check that the maximality of (a,b) implies that $\big(\mathcal{O}_f^+(a) \cup \mathcal{O}_f^+(b)\big) \cap (a,b) = \emptyset$ and also that $a, b \in \mathrm{Per}(f)$.

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 14, we claim that $\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap J = \emptyset$ for almost every $x \in [0,1]$. To show this, consider $g : [0,1] \setminus \mathcal{C}_g \to [0,1]$, defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \notin (a,b) \\ \lambda_a(f(x) - f(a)) + f(a) & \text{if } x \in (a,c) \\ \lambda_b(f(x) - f(b)) & \text{if } x \in (c,b) \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

where $\lambda_a = (1 - f(a))/|f((a,c))|$, $\lambda_b = f(b)/|f((c,b))|$ and $\mathcal{C}_g = \{c\} \cup \partial J$, see Figure 8.

Letting $\mathcal{U} = [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{B}_0(f)$ and assuming by contradiction that $\mathrm{Leb}(\mathcal{U}) > 0$, one can show (following the proof of Lemma 14) the existence of a connected component I_{t_0} of $([0,1] \setminus J) \setminus (\mathcal{O}_f^+(a) \cup \mathcal{O}_f^+(b))$ such that $\mathrm{Leb}(\{x \in \mathcal{U}\,;\, \omega_g^+(x) \cap I_{t_0}) \neq \emptyset\}\big) > 0$ and that



FIGURE 8.

$I_{t_0} \cap \mathrm{Re}\big((\mathcal{O}_g^+(\mathcal{V}_g)\big) = \emptyset$. Thus, by the interval dichotomy lemma (Proposition 11), $\omega_g(x) \supset I_{t_0}$ for almost every $x \in I_{t_0}$. By the homterval lemma, we get $n \geq 0$ such that $g^n|_{I_{t_0}}$ is a diffeomorphism and $\mathcal{C}_g \cap g^n(I_{t_0}) = \{a, b, c\} \cap g^n(I_{t_0}) \neq \emptyset$ and so, $g^n(I_{t_0}) \cap J \neq \emptyset$. As a consequence, the orbit (with respect to g and also to f) of almost every point of $\mathcal{U} \cap I_{t_0}$ intersects J, contradicting the definition of $\mathcal{U}$. □

Proposition 27 (Periodic-like attractors for contracting Lorenz maps)**.** *Let $f : [0,1] \setminus \{c\} \to [0,1]$ be a C^3 contracting Lorenz map with negative Schwarzian derivative (f may be flat). If f has a periodic-like attractor A_1, then either* $\mathrm{Leb}(\beta_f(A_1)) = 1$ *or else there is a second periodic-like attractor A_2 such that* $\mathrm{Leb}(\beta_f(A_1) \cup \beta_f(A_2)) = 1$.

Proof. Let A_1 be a periodic-like attractor for f. By Singer's Theorem [38], there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(c - \varepsilon, c)$ or $(c, c + \varepsilon) \subset \beta_f(A_1)$. Suppose for instance that $(c, c + \varepsilon) \subset \beta_f(A_1)$, the other case being similar. Let (c, b) be the maximal open interval containing $(c, c + \varepsilon)$ and contained in $\beta_f(A_1)$.

Firstly suppose that $\exists\, \delta > 0$ such that $(c - \delta, c)$ belongs to the basin of attraction of a periodic-like attractor A_2 (A_2 may be equal to A_1). We then apply Lemma 26 and conclude the proof.

Thus, we may assume that, for every $\delta > 0$, $(c - \delta, c)$ is not contained in the basin of attraction of a periodic attractor. In particular, this implies, by Singer's Theorem, that A_1 is the unique periodic-like attractor of f.

Claim. *If (a, c) is a homterval for some $a < c$, then* $\mathrm{Leb}(\beta_f(A_1)) = 1$.

Proof of the claim. Let $I = (a', c)$ be the maximal homterval containing (a, c). As I is a homterval, $\omega_f(x) = \omega_f(y)$, $\forall\, x, y \in I$. Thus, if $I \cap \beta_f(A_1) \neq \emptyset$ then $I \subset \beta_f(A_1)$ and by Lemma 26 we get that $\mathrm{Leb}(\beta_f(A_1)) = 1$.

Now, let us show that the assumption $I \cap \beta_f(A_1) = \emptyset$ leads to a contradiction. Indeed, if $I \cap \beta_f(A_1) = \emptyset$ then $f^n(J) \cap (c, b) = \emptyset$ $\forall\, n \geq 0$. By the maximality of I, either $a' = 0$ or $f^\ell(a') = c$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. As $f^n(J) \cap (c, b) = \emptyset$ $\forall\, n \geq 0$, we necessarily have $a' = 0$. As $f^2|_I$ is a diffeomorphism, $c \notin f(I) = (0, \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f(c - \varepsilon))$. This implies that

$f(I) \subset I$ and therefore, $(0, c)$ is contained in the basin of attraction of some fixed-like point $p \in [0, c]$, and as we are assuming that A_1 is the unique periodic-like attractor, $A_1 = p$ and $I = (0, c) \subset \beta_f(p)$, contradicting the assumption.

□

Let us suppose, by contradiction, that $\mathrm{Leb}([0, 1] \setminus \beta_f(A_1)) > 0$. Thus, it follows from the claim above that f can not have an Ewi attractor. Also, by Lemma 4, f does not admit a cycle of intervals. Thus, it follows from Corollary 19 that

$$c - \mathbb{i} \in \omega_f(x) \text{ for almost all } x \in [0, 1] \setminus \beta_f(A_1). \tag{22}$$

In particular, writing $V(a) = \{x \in (a, c)\,;\, \#(\mathcal{O}_f^+(x) \cap (a, c)) = \infty\}$, we get

$$\mathrm{Leb}(V(a)) > 0,\ \forall a \in [0, c).$$

As $\mathrm{Re}(\omega_f(c + \mathbb{i})) = A_1$, if $c - \mathbb{i} \notin \mathrm{Re}(\omega_f(c - \mathbb{i}))$ then $\exists\, 0 < a < c$ such that $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(\mathcal{V}_f)) \cap (a, c) = \emptyset$, where $\mathcal{V}_f = \{f(c-\mathbb{i}), f(c+\mathbb{i})\}$. Furthermore, in this case, c is an isolated point of $\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f(c \pm \mathbb{i}))}$, because $(c, c + \varepsilon) \subset \beta_f(A_1)$. As a consequence, it follows from Corollary 13 that $\mathrm{Leb}(\{x \in [0, 1] \setminus \beta_f(A_1)\,;\, c \in \omega_f(x)\}) = 0$, which is a contradiction with (22). As a conclusion, we have $c - \mathbb{i} \in \mathrm{Re}(\omega_f(c - \mathbb{i}))$.

Claim. *If $\#A_1 \geq 2$ then $c \notin A_1$.*

Proof of the claim. Suppose that $c \in A_1$ and let $n \geq 2$ be the period of $c + \mathbb{i}$. Let $T := (c, t)$ the be the maximal interval such that $f^n|_T$ in a diffeomorphism. We claim that $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f^n(t - \varepsilon) > t$. Indeed, by the maximality of T either $t = 1$ or $\exists\, 1 \leq \ell < n$ such that $f^n(t) = c$. As $t = 1$ implies that $n = 1$, we conclude that $f^\ell(t) = c$, for some $1 \leq \ell < n$. As f is an orientation preserving map, we get that $f^\ell(T) = f^\ell((c, t)) = (\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f^\ell(c+\varepsilon), c) =: I$ and also that $f^n(T) = (\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f^n(c + \varepsilon), \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f^n(t - \varepsilon)) = f^{n-\ell}((\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f^\ell(c + \varepsilon), c)) = (f^n(c_+), \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f^{n-\ell}(c - \varepsilon))$. Thus, if $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f^n(t - \varepsilon) \leq t$ then either $t - \mathbb{i}$ is a periodic-like point with $c - \mathbb{i} \in \mathcal{O}_f^+(t - \mathbb{i})$ or $c < \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f^{n-\ell}(c - \varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f^n(t - \varepsilon) < t$. The first case is impossible because it implies the existence of a second attracting periodic-like orbit, and we are assuming that A_1 is the unique one. On the other hand, $c < \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f^{n-\ell}(c - \varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f^n(t - \varepsilon) < t$ implies that $c < f^{kn}(x) < f^{(k-1)n}(x) < \cdots < f^n(x) < x$ for every $x \in (c, t)$ and this means that $T = (c, t) \subset \beta_f(A_1)$. As a consequence, $T' = f^\ell(T) \subset \beta_f(A_1)$ which contradicts the assumption that $(c - \delta, c)$ is not contained in the basin of attraction of a periodic-like attractor, $\forall\, \delta > 0$. □

Notice that $A_1 \cap (0, c) = \emptyset$ if and only if A_1 is an attracting fixed-like point $q \in [c, 1]$. As we are assume that p is the unique attracting periodic-like point it is easy to see that if A_1 is a fixed-like point then $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f(c - \varepsilon) > c$ and that $(c, 1) \supset \beta_f(q)$. In this case one can conclude easily that $\beta_f(q) = (0, 1)$.

So, we may assume that $A_1 \cap (0, c) \neq \emptyset$ and, by the claim just above, we get that $c \notin A_1$.

Let $J := (p, q)$ be the connected component of $(0, 1) \setminus A_1$ containing c. Thus, J is a nice interval containing c and $p \in \mathrm{Per}(f)$.

By Singer's Theorem [38], $(c, q) \subset \beta_f(A_1)$ and so, $\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c - \mathbb{i})) \cap (c, q) = \emptyset$. As we also have that $q \in \mathrm{Per}(f)$, $c - \mathbb{i}$ does not belong to the basin of attraction of A_1 (the unique periodic-like attractor of f) and $c \in \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c - \mathbb{i})) \cap (0, c)}$, we can consider $U \subset (p, c)$, $F : U \to (p, q)$ and $R : U \to \mathbb{N}$ as in Lemma 22. Note that $V(p) \subset U$. Let $\mathcal{C}_0 \subset (c, q)$ be a

finite set and $g : (c,q) \setminus \mathcal{C}_0 \to (c,q)$ be any C^3 orientation preserving local diffeomorphism with $Sg < 0$. Set $\mathcal{U} = U \cup (c,q) \setminus \mathcal{C}_0$ and $G : \mathcal{U} \to (p,q)$ by

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} F(x) & \text{if } x \in U \\ g(x) & \text{if } x \in (c,q) \setminus \mathcal{C}_0 \end{cases}$$

Because $G^n(x) = F^n(x)\ \forall\, x \in V(p)$ and $\forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get $G(V(p)) \subset V(p)$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the collection of connected components of $\mathcal{U}$. As, $G(P) = (p,q)\ \forall\, P \in \mathcal{P}$, $SG < 0$ and $Leb\big(\bigcap_{n\geq 0} G^{-n}(\mathcal{U})\big) \geq Leb(V(p)) > 0$, it follows from Lemma 10 that $\omega_F(x) = \omega_G(x) = [p,q]$ for almost every $x \in V(p)$. In particular, the ω-limit set of almost every $x \in V(p)$ is a cycle of intervals. This is a contradiction, as f can not admit a cycle of intervals. Thus, we necessarily have $\mathrm{Leb}([0,1] \setminus \beta_f(A_1)) = 0$, which concludes the proof.

□

Proof of Theorem C. If f has attracting periodic-like orbits, then the proof follows from Proposition 27 above. If f does not admit attracting periodic-like orbits, then it follows from Corollary 25 that $\omega_f(x) = A$ for almost every $x \in [0,1]$, where

$$A = \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c-\mathbb{i}))} \cup \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c+\mathbb{i}))} = \overline{\mathcal{O}_f^+(c_-) \cup \mathcal{O}_f^+(c_+)}$$

and $\mathcal{O}_f^+(c_\pm) = \{\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} f^n(c \pm \varepsilon)\,;\, n \geq 0\}$. Thus, we need only to verify that $\overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c-\mathbb{i}))} \cup \overline{\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{O}_f^+(c+\mathbb{i}))}$ is a perfect set and this follows straightforwardly from Corollary 25 and Corollary 13.

Further comments. In the present paper we have dealt with metrical attractors. In this kind of attractor, the basin of attraction is required to have positive Lebesgue measure. Another viewpoint is to consider *topological attractors*, namely the ones whose basins of attraction are residual in some open set of the domain, see Milnor [28]. Notice that the topological attractors and the metrical ones may not be the same, as in the case of wild attractors [8].

For non-flat C^3 maps of the interval $[0,1]$ with negative Schwarzian derivative it is known that the number of topological attractors is bounded by the number of critical points, as shown by Guckenheimer [14] and Blokh and Lyubich [6]. For maps with discontinuities, Brandão showed in [4] the topological version of Theorem C, that is, contracting Lorenz maps have either one single topological attractor or two attracting periodic orbits whose union of basins of attraction is a residual subset of the whole interval. In the context of topological attractors, the question of finiteness of the number of attractors for discontinuous maps with more than one critical point remains open.

References

[1] L. Alsedà, J. Llibre, M. Misiurewicz. *Periods and entropy for Lorenz-like maps*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 1989.

[2] A. Arneodo, P. Coullet, C. Tresser. *A possible new mechanism for the onset of turbulence*, Phys. Lett. A 81(4), 197-201, 1981.

[3] A. Andronov, L. Pontrjagin. *Systèmes Grossiers*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk., SSSR, 14, 247-251, 1937.

[4] P. Brandão. *On the Structure of Contracting Lorenz maps*, preprint, IMPA Thesis, 2013.

[5] A. Blokh, M. Lyubich. *Ergodicity of transitive maps of the interval*, Ukrainian Math. J. **41**, 985–988, 1989.

[6] A. Blokh, M. Lyubich. *Nonexistence of wandering intervals and structure of topological attractors of one-dimensional dynamical systems. II.* The smooth case. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 9, no. 4, 751-758, 1989.
[7] A. Blokh, M. Lyubich. *Measurable dynamics of S-unimodal maps of the interval*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **24**, 545-573, 1991.
[8] H. Bruin, G. Keller, T. Nowicki, S. van Strien. *Wild Cantor Attractors Exist*, The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 143, No. 1, pp. 97-130, 1996.
[9] A. Chenciner. J-M. Gambaudo, C. Tresser. *Une remarque sur la structure des endormorphismes de degré 1 du cercle*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t 299, Serie I, n^o 5, 1984.
[10] S. Crovisier, E. Pujals. *Essential hyperbolicity and homoclinic bifurcations: a dichotomy phenomenon/mechanism for diffeomorphisms.* arXiv:1011.3836, 2010.
[11] J. M. Gambaudo, I. Procaccia, S. Thomas, C. Tresser. *New universal scenarios for the onset of chaos in Lorenz-type flows.* Physical review letters. 57 925-8, 1986.
[12] J. Graczyk, D. Sands, G. Swiatek. *Metric attractors for smooth unimodal maps*, Ann of Math. pp. 725-740, 2004.
[13] J. Graczyk, G. Swiatek. *Generic Hyperbolicity in the Logistic Family.* Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 146, No. 1, pp. 1-52, 1997.
[14] J. Guckenheimer. *Sensitive Dependence to Initial Conditions for One Dimensional Maps*, Commun. Math. Phys. 70, 133, 1979.
[15] J. Guckenheimer, S. Johnson. *Distortion of S-Unimodal Maps.* The Annals of Mathematics. 1v32, 71-130, 1990.
[16] J. Guckenheimer, R. F. Williams. *Structural stability of Lorenz attractors*, Publ. Math. IHES 50, 59-72, 1979.
[17] V. Kaloshin, A. Gorodetski. *How often surface diffeomorphisms have infinitely many sinks and hyperbolicity of periodic points near a homoclinic tangency*, Advances in Mathematics, 208, 710-797, 2007.
[18] G. Keller. *Exponents, attractors and Hopf decompositions for interval maps.* Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **10**, 717-744, 1990.
[19] O. Kozlovski, W. Shen, S. van Strien. *Density of hyperbolicity in dimension one.* Ann. of Math. 166 145-82. 2007.
[20] M. Lyubich. *Nonexistence of wandering intervals and structure of topological attractors of one-dimensional dynamical systems.* I. The case of negative Schwarzian derivative. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 9, no. 4, 737-749, 1989.
[21] M. Lyubich. *Ergodic theory for smooth one dimensional dynamical systems.* arXiv:math/9201286. 1991.
[22] M. Lyubich. *Dynamics of quadratic polynomials. I, II.* Acta Math. 178, 185-247, 247-97, 1997.
[23] W. de Melo, M. Martens. *Universal Models for Lorenz Maps*, . Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., v. 21, n. 2, p. 343-370, 2000.
[24] W. de Melo, S. van Strien. *A Structure Theorem in One Dimensional Dynamics.* Annals of Mathematics, vol. 129, pp. 519-546, 1989.
[25] M. Martens. *Distortion results and invariant Cantor Sets of unimodal maps.* Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **14(2)**, 331-349, 1994.
[26] R. Mañé. *Hyperbolicity, sinks and measure in one-dimensional dynamics.* Comm. Math. Phys.,100:495-524, 1985. Commun. Math. Phys. 112, 721-724 (Erratum), 1987.
[27] W. de Melo, S. van Strien. *One Dimensional Dynamics*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
[28] J. Milnor. *On the Concept of Attractor.* Commun. Math. Phys. 99, 177-195, 1985.
[29] J. Milnor, W. Thurston. *On iterated maps of the interval.* In Dynamical systems (College Park, MD, 1986-87), pages 465-563. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1988.
[30] S. Newhouse. *Diffeomorphisms with infinitely many sinks*, Topology, Vol. 13, pp. 9-18, 1974.
[31] S. Newhouse, J. Palis. *Cycles and bifurcation theory*, Astérisque, 31, 44-140, 1976.
[32] J. Palis. *A Global View of Dynamics and a Conjecture on the Denseness of Finitude of Attractors*, Astérisque, France, v. 261, p. 339-351, 2000.

[33] J. Palis. *A global perspective for non-conservative dynamics*. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Analyse Non Linéaire, 22, p. 487-507, 2005.
[34] J. Palis, F. Takens. *Hyperbolicity and the creation of homoclinic orbits*, Annals of Math., 125, 337-374, 1987.
[35] J. Palis, J.-C. Yoccoz. *Non-uniformly hyperbolic horseshoes arising from bifurcations of Poincaré heteroclinic cycles*. Publications Mathématiques de L'IHES, vol. 110 (1) pp. 1-217, 2009.
[36] M. Peixoto. *On Structural Stability*. Annals of Math., 69, 199-222, 1959.
[37] A. Rovella. *The dynamics of perturbations of contracting Lorenz Maps*. Bul. Soc. Brazil Mat. (N.S.) 24(2), 233-259. 1993.
[38] D. Singer. *Stable orbits and bifurcations of maps of the interval*. SIAM J. Appl. Math. **35**, 260-267, 1978.
[39] S. van Strien, E. Vargas. *Real bounds, ergodicity and negative Schwarzian for multimodal maps*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **17**, 749-782, 2004.
[40] M. St. Pierre. *Topological and measurable dynamics of Lorenz maps*, Dissertationes Mathematicae, 17, 1999.

P. Brandão, Impa, Estrada Dona Castorina, 110, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
E-mail address: paulo@impa.br

J. Palis, Impa, Estrada Dona Castorina, 110, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
E-mail address: jpalis@impa.br

V. Pinheiro, Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Av. Ademar de Barros s/n, 40170-110 Salvador, Brazil.
E-mail address: viltonj@ufba.br